AI Strategy Agent for Airline Logistics: A Multi-Layered, KPI-Governed Architecture for Real-Time Optimization and Ethical Orchestration

SeyyedAbdolHojjat MoghadasNian
Tarbiat Modares University
S14110213@Gmail.com
Hamed Kashian
Civil Aviation Technology College (CATC)
Hamed.Kashian@Gmail.com

Abstract

This study presents the design and empirical validation of the AI Strategy Agent for Airline Logistics (AISAL) a multi-role, four-layer architecture (Perception, Cognition, Strategy, Action) that autonomously orchestrates 110 airline logistics Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) in real time. Using a mixed-methods approach combining expert elicitation and digital twin simulation within the aviation sector, the model bridges the persistent gap between descriptive dashboards and adaptive, KPI-governed execution. Results indicate a 22% improvement in forecast accuracy and over 11% reduction in CASK, alongside enhanced ESG alignment and operational resilience. The AISAL agent embeds ethical auditing, explainability, sustainability scoring, and disruption response transforming airline logistics from reactive inventory tracking to anticipatory, ethically governed orchestration. Theoretical contributions include the operationalization of agentic AI in aviation logistics governance; practical implications advocate for integrating AISAL-like agents into fleet support, AOG management, and ESG-sensitive inventory systems. This research offers a foundational template for airlines seeking digital transformation amid geopolitical and infrastructural constraints.

Keywords: ”Agentic AI“,”Airline Logistics“,”KPI-Oriented Optimization“,”Digital Twin“,”ESG Compliance“,”Resilient Supply Chain“,”CASK Reduction“,”Ethical AI Governance“.

Introduction

Background

The digital transformation of airline logistics is rapidly reshaping the strategic foundations of aviation operations worldwide. Within this evolving landscape, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a catalyst for operational efficiency, sustainability, resilience, and governance compliance. Particularly in the context of aviation industry characterized by complex logistical constraints, geopolitical volatility, and regulatory adaptation the integration of AI-powered solutions has become imperative to address cost pressure, supply chain disruptions, and environmental imperatives.

Traditional logistics systems, dominated by siloed dashboards and reactive decision-making, have proven inadequate for the dynamic challenges of contemporary airline operations. The proliferation of sensor data (e.g., aircraft health monitoring), ERP/MRO platforms, IoT telemetry, and e-freight documentation has generated a data-rich yet insight-deficient environment. As a response, airline leaders are transitioning toward agentic AI ecosystems composed of intelligent, autonomous systems capable of real-time orchestration, KPI monitoring, and adaptive governance.

The AI Strategy Agent for Airline Logistics (AISAL) emerges within this paradigm as a multi-role, multi-layered intelligent agent designed to optimize logistics performance across ten strategic KPI domains. These domains span operational efficiency, safety compliance, ethical AI governance, environmental sustainability, innovation velocity, and resilience to disruption each deeply interwoven with the digital maturity trajectory and regional carriers.

Statement of Problem

Despite the availability of comprehensive KPI taxonomies and digital dashboards in airline logistics, current systems lack the autonomous, real-time decision-making capabilities required for orchestrating complex logistics environments. Traditional AI deployments often operate in fragmented modules predictive maintenance engines, inventory forecasters, or risk alert systems that do not collectively optimize across strategic objectives or respond to KPI deviations autonomously.

There is a persistent structural gap between:

- Monitoring KPIs and enacting real-time corrective actions,
- ESG and ethical AI compliance and their operationalization within optimization loops,
- Predictive models and their integration into disruption resilience strategies.

This misalignment results in delayed disruption response (e.g., AOG event management), inefficient resource allocation, ethical opacity in AI-driven decisions, and underperformance in sustainability metrics such as CO_2 per Revenue Ton Kilometer (CO_2/RTK) and Sustainable Aviation Fuel Utilization Rate (SAFUR). Without a unified architecture that governs, adapts, and orchestrates these AI subsystems based on real-time KPI states, strategic misalignment and regulatory risks continue to compromise logistics performance.

Research Questions and Objectives

To bridge these gaps, this study introduces and validates AISAL as a KPI-governed, agentic AI architecture. The research is structured around the following key question and sub-questions:

Primary Research Question (PRQ1): How can a multi-role, multi-layered AI Strategy Agent (AISAL) be designed and operationalized to govern, optimize, and orchestrate performance across ten strategic KPI domains in airline logistics?

Sub-Research Questions:

- SRQ1 – Architecture Design: What are the functional layers, cognitive mechanisms, and governance components required to enable real-time, auditable, and multi-domain KPI orchestration in airline logistics?
- SRQ2 – Strategic KPI Alignment: How can AISAL translate diverse airline logistics KPIs (e.g., CASK, CO_2/RTK, SAFUR, ADR) into actionable decision loops that align with resilience, sustainability, and financial targets?
- SRQ3 – Performance Impact and Governance Validation: What measurable improvements in logistics performance, resilience, governance compliance, and AI ethics can be achieved through AISAL compared to traditional dashboard or non-agentic AI configurations?

Significance of the Study

Scholarly Contribution: This research extends design science and digital transformation literature by operationalizing KPIs as dynamic, agentically orchestrated control variables. It bridges the gap between conceptual KPI frameworks and applied AI governance, offering a validated architecture that integrates predictive analytics, ethical AI protocols, and ESG-aligned optimization.

Practical Contribution: For Chief Logistics Officers (CLOs), technology strategists, and regulators, AISAL provides a real-time decision framework that:

- Reduces turnaround time and Cost per Available Seat Kilometer (CASK),
- Enhances dispatch reliability through predictive maintenance,
- Improves ESG performance via embedded sustainability KPIs,
- Ensures auditability, transparency, and ethical integrity of AI systems,
- Enables data-driven risk mitigation and adaptive resilience to supply chain disruptions.

AISAL is especially pertinent for regional airline contexts where digital transformation is challenged by infrastructural, regulatory, and geopolitical constraints. The model enables strategic co-leadership between human experts and AI agents under a governance-aware, KPI-optimized logistics paradigm.

Scope of Study

This study focuses on the design and validation of AISAL within the airline logistics domain. The scope includes:

- Geographical Context: Primarily the aviation sector, with transferability to regional and Global South carriers facing similar constraints.
- Technological Context: AI/ML architectures, digital twin simulations, IoT telemetry integration, ethical AI, and real-time data governance.
- Functional Domains: Maintenance, Repair, and Overhaul (MRO); inventory control; cargo logistics; turnaround coordination; ESG compliance; and AI explainability mechanisms.
- Temporal Scope: Current logistics practices and near-future readiness (2025–2030 horizon). Excluded from this study are passenger-facing AI systems, cabin service KPIs, and long-term fleet strategy modeling.

Outline of Article Structure

The structure of this article is as follows:

- Section 2 – Literature Review: Synthesizes research on AI-enabled logistics, KPI governance, ethical AI, resilience, and sustainability in airline operations.
- Section 3 – Methodology: Details the design science approach, architecture construction, expert elicitation process, and simulation-based evaluation strategy.
- Section 4 – AISAL Architecture: Presents the four-layer agent design, role taxonomy, and integration pathways with airline logistics systems.
- Section 5 – Findings and Results: Reports empirical improvements across ten KPI domains, including financial, operational, ESG, and governance performance.
- Section 6 – Discussion: Interprets theoretical implications, managerial relevance, and strategic foresight for agentic AI in aviation logistics.
- Section 7 – Conclusion: Summarizes contributions, validates the research questions, and outlines directions for future multi-airline deployment and digital twin extensions.

Literature Review

Theoretical Background

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and multi-agent systems into airline logistics has emerged as a transformative frontier, offering strategic improvements in KPI orchestration, disruption management, and operational efficiency. The deployment of a multi-role, multi-layered AI Strategy Agent for Airline Logistics (AISAL) builds upon foundational work in distributed artificial intelligence, logistics orchestration, and agent-based modeling, as evidenced in recent research.

Multi-Agent Systems (MAS) in Airline Logistics

Multi-agent systems (MAS) are particularly suited for complex, decentralized logistics operations due to their ability to support distributed control, autonomous reasoning, and real-time coordination [1]. MASDIMA, an example applied in airline operations, has demonstrated the potential of autonomous agents to manage disruption scenarios efficiently [2]. These systems have proven effective in areas such as route optimization, vehicle allocation, and autonomous execution, offering scalability and robustness to logistics networks [3]. With advancements in foundation models and MAS convergence, as outlined by Xu et al. (n.d.), there is increasing potential for self-orchestrating supply chains, a principle central to AISAL.

AI-Driven Optimization and Feedback Mechanisms

The optimization of logistics processes using AI, particularly through iterative refinement and feedback loops, has garnered scholarly attention. Yüksel and Sawaf [4] propose a Multi-AI Agent System capable of continuous performance tuning via LLM-driven feedback, enabling systems to adapt autonomously to shifting conditions. Complementarily, Zong et al. [5] develop a simulation-optimization framework that employs deep learning to adjust routing strategies dynamically. These mechanisms are essential to AISAL’s cognitive layers, enabling predictive, adaptive, and optimized KPI orchestration.

Strategic Alignment with KPIs

AI's role in aligning operational decisions with strategic KPI objectives is well documented. Kitzmann et al. [6] stress that AI methods such as supervised learning and clustering can link operational events with overarching strategic goals. These capabilities are particularly relevant to managing performance metrics like Cost per Available Seat Kilometer (CASK) and Sustainable Aviation Fuel Utilization Rate (SAFUR), as shown by Chen et al. [7] and Raghavan [8]. Such metrics are critical in balancing financial sustainability and environmental objectives in airline logistics.

Performance Enhancement in Logistics Operations

Several studies have underscored the efficiency gains of AI in logistics, including predictive analytics for demand forecasting and autonomous delivery systems. Fatorachian [9] presents a comprehensive review of AI-enabled tools that reduce logistics errors, improve timeliness, and enhance customer satisfaction. Likewise, Royappa et al. [10] report a 17% reduction in logistics costs and a 22% increase in customer satisfaction via AI-based route optimization and predictive modeling. These findings directly support AISAL's value proposition in optimizing logistical KPIs across domains.

Resilience and Risk Management

The capacity of AI to strengthen logistics resilience is particularly salient in volatile environments such as airline operations. Alsakhen et al. [11] identify AI's capacity to detect early risks, enhance supply chain visibility, and initiate proactive interventions. Attah et al. [12] complement this by identifying AI's role in disruption forecasting and adaptive response mechanisms. Narayanan et al. [13] further emphasize AI-powered tools in real-time risk mitigation and supply chain safety.

Governance, Transparency, and Ethics

Effective KPI orchestration requires not only technological integration but also robust governance mechanisms. Blockchain-enabled orchestration architectures enhance transparency, automate SLA compliance, and foster cross-domain trust [14]. Governance strategies must integrate both contractual and relational mechanisms [15] [16], especially in multi-domain orchestration systems. Poe et al. [17] introduce the Intelligent Monitoring in multi-domain orchestration (IMoS) architecture, which serves as a viable model for AISAL's real-time auditing and SLA monitoring functions. Additionally, explainability and bias mitigation are imperative in ethically-aligned AI. Ejjami [18] proposes the Adaptive Human-AI Synergy in Logistics (AHASL) theory, emphasizing Full-Spectrum Explainability (FSE) and human oversight principles directly informing AISAL's ethical layer.

IoT, Digital Twins, and Edge Computing

AISAL's architecture draws from innovations in IoT integration and digital twin simulation. Brochado et al. [19] demonstrate how modular IoT architectures enable real-time scheduling and KPI computation across logistics chains. Edge computing and network slicing, as studied by Fernández et al. [20], offer dynamic resource allocation and service orchestration at the periphery, reducing latency and enabling localized decision-making. These technologies enhance AISAL's capacity for real-time situational awareness and adaptive execution.

Sustainability and Environmental Optimization

Sustainability, a key dimension of AISAL, benefits from AI-based tools that optimize carbon emissions, fuel usage, and sustainable resource allocation. Mandal and Mohammed [21] explore the role of AI in reverse logistics and CO_2 reduction, while Budd et al. [22] emphasize sustainable air transport through fuel efficiency and operational productivity. The inclusion of SAF supply chain transparency via blockchain [8] further supports sustainable KPI alignment.

Challenges and Implementation Barriers

Despite the promise, challenges persist in integrating AISAL at scale. High implementation costs, data privacy concerns, and resistance to technological change remain key barriers [12] [23]. Tanveer [24] discusses the harmonization difficulties between disparate logistics infrastructures, while Hofman et al. [25] stress the necessity of inter-organizational IT frameworks for achieving situation awareness and real-time responsiveness in complex logistics ecosystems.

Critical Analysis of Existing Literature

Despite growing scholarly attention to AI in logistics and aviation, the current literature exhibits several persistent limitations that this study aims to address:

- Fragmentation across agents and domains: Multi-agent approaches such as MASDIMA [2] offer agent-based disruption management, yet they fail to incorporate sustainability metrics or ethical constraints. Similarly, LLM-based architectures [4] introduce feedback-enhanced agent systems, but lack orchestration across multidimensional KPI domains such as safety, carbon footprint, and cost-performance trade-offs.
- Lack of unified KPI governance: Kitzmann et al. [6] emphasize the use of supervised learning and clustering for operational alignment with strategic goals, but stop short of implementing real-time KPI feedback loops or agentic decision governance. Likewise, models such as that of Royappa et al. [10] address cost reduction and customer satisfaction, yet operate in siloed optimization domains without integrated performance orchestration.
- Governance and transparency blind spots: Existing orchestration systems such as the IMoS framework [17] facilitate SLA monitoring across domains but offer limited support for cognitive explainability, bias mitigation, or regulatory auditability. While Ejjami [18] introduces the AHASL theory for human-AI collaboration and explainability, its implementation in real-world logistics contexts remains largely conceptual.
- Contextual homogeneity in validation: The majority of tested architectures are built and evaluated within technologically mature ecosystems, often within Western, East Asian, or Gulf aviation and logistics infrastructures. These systems may not reflect the heterogeneous realities of logistics ecosystems across

diverse global regions, particularly where infrastructural, linguistic, or regulatory differences pose implementation challenges.

Identification of Research Gaps

Based on the above synthesis, the literature reveals the following strategic gaps:

- Gap 1 – Absence of Unified, Multi-Layered, KPI-Orchestrated Agents: No current model offers a closed-loop agentic system capable of orchestrating multi-dimensional KPIs such as operational efficiency, ESG compliance, resilience, and ethical AI accountability in real time within a unified architecture.
- Gap 2 – Lack of Cross-Contextual, Scalable Validation Frameworks: Despite advances in predictive and modular AI for logistics, there is a lack of globally adaptable, multilingual, regulation-aligned agentic systems capable of functioning across heterogeneous environments and logistical conditions.
- Gap 3 – Underdevelopment of Auditable and Ethical AI Execution in Operational Contexts: While bias auditing and explainability are discussed in AI ethics literature, they are seldom operationalized within the execution layers of AI systems. This leaves a critical gap in regulatory compliance, decision traceability, and trust in autonomous airline logistics governance.

Contribution of This Study to the Literature

This study offers four original contributions to the evolving field of AI-driven logistics orchestration:

- Theoretical Innovation: It introduces AISAL, a novel multi-role, multi-layer AI agent architecture that translates ten validated strategic KPI domains into real-time, auditable orchestration logic extending the boundaries of existing MAS, XAI, and sustainability frameworks.
- Methodological Integration: The study employs a multi-method approach including Delphi-based expert consultation, digital twin simulations, and comparative performance benchmarking. This integrated method bridges design science with empirical modeling in a way rarely applied in the MAS logistics domain.
- Scalable, Context-Agnostic Design: AISAL is designed to be adaptable across regulatory jurisdictions, infrastructure conditions, and digital maturity levels. It fills a critical gap in the literature by moving beyond region-specific agent models toward globally relevant, modular, and scalable orchestration.
- KPI-Governed AI Ethics: The architecture embeds Full-Spectrum Explainability (FSE), bias detection, and threshold-based ethical governance directly into its cognitive and strategy layers. This ensures that decisions related to routing, maintenance reprioritization, and resource allocation remain traceable, auditable, and compliant with emerging global AI governance standards.

Methodology

This research adopts a mixed-methods design science approach, integrating both qualitative expert elicitation and quantitative simulation-based analysis. This approach is appropriate given the dual objectives of (1) conceptualizing and architecting the AISAL (AI Strategy Agent for Airline Logistics) model, and (2) empirically evaluating its performance using validated airline logistics KPIs under real-world constraints. The chosen methodology allows for both theoretical innovation and operational validation, aligned with the problem statement of bridging the gap between descriptive KPI dashboards and autonomous agentic orchestration in airline logistics.

To ensure relevance and contextual precision, the study focuses on the aviation sector, a logistics environment marked by infrastructural limitations, geopolitical constraints, and emergent digital transformation. This context necessitates a robust, auditable, and resilient AI strategy agent capable of adaptive, real-time logistics governance.

A purposive sampling technique was employed to identify key informants for expert elicitation. Participants included 11 logistics professionals, aviation digital transformation experts, and AI governance consultants from three major airlines and one regional maintenance organization. Selection criteria required participants to possess at least 8 years of professional experience in logistics, MRO operations, or AI deployment within aviation contexts. Their insights informed both the architectural requirements of AISAL and the weight calibration of selected KPIs across its ten strategic domains.

Data collection proceeded in two phases. First, qualitative data were gathered through semi-structured expert interviews and iterative design workshops, where participants reviewed and critiqued AISAL's proposed four-layer architecture (Perception, Cognition, Strategy, Action). Second, quantitative evaluation was performed using digital twin simulations in which baseline manual logistics systems were contrasted with the AISAL agent in scenarios involving AOG events, inventory bottlenecks, and ESG compliance adjustments. KPI data for these simulations were drawn from internal airline records, public performance benchmarks (IATA, ICAO), and sustainability disclosures.

For data analysis, qualitative data were subjected to thematic coding to extract architectural, ethical, and performance criteria. These were cross-mapped against existing literature and regulatory frameworks (e.g., IEEE 7000, EU AI Act). Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive analytics and comparative performance modeling, including forecast accuracy improvements, cost optimization deltas, ESG index alignment, and resilience-to-disruption metrics. KPI improvements were validated using pre-post comparative baselines and sensitivity analyses to ensure robustness.

Ethical protocols were strictly followed throughout the study. All participants provided informed consent and were ensured confidentiality regarding proprietary data. All simulations were anonymized, and no personally identifiable operational data were used.

To ensure research reliability and validity, the study employed methodological triangulation (expert interviews + simulations), member checking (participants validated synthesized architecture post-interview), and KPI cross-verification against authoritative aviation benchmarks. Additionally, the AISAL architecture was peer-reviewed by two independent AI and logistics scholars for internal coherence, replicability, and strategic applicability.
This methodology ensures not only that AISAL is theoretically sound but also operationally validated under real-world airline logistics conditions supporting its contribution to advancing digital transformation, sustainability, and intelligent governance in aviation.

Findings and Results

Overview of Performance Evaluation
The implementation and simulation of the AISAL (AI Strategy Agent for Airline Logistics) model yielded measurable improvements across multiple performance domains. The findings, derived from comparative simulations of baseline manual operations versus agentic orchestration, reveal that AISAL achieves significant gains in logistics responsiveness, sustainability alignment, and KPI governance integration.
These results directly respond to the study's core objective: operationalizing a multi-layer, multi-role AI agent capable of real-time KPI-governed decision-making in airline logistics, particularly under aviation constraints.
Quantitative Results
The digital twin simulations modeled three core operational scenarios: (1) Aircraft on Ground (AOG) response, (2) Inventory rebalancing under delay conditions, and (3) ESG optimization under fuel sourcing limitations. Across these, AISAL demonstrated the following quantifiable improvements:

- Forecast Accuracy: AISAL improved short-term inventory demand forecast accuracy by +22%, reducing error margins from 31% (baseline) to 9%, primarily due to its perception–cognition feedback loop using rolling KPI windows and anomaly detection.
- Cost Efficiency (CASK): Operational cost per available seat-kilometer (CASK) was reduced by ≈11.2%, driven by optimized routing of spare parts, predictive AOG handling, and agentic maintenance reprioritization.
- Resilience Index: AISAL increased logistics resilience (defined as service continuity during disruptions) by +17.5%, primarily due to its Strategy–Action layer which proactively reprioritized parts and routed supply through alternate depots.
- Sustainability Performance: Carbon emissions per Revenue Tonne Kilometer (CO_2/RTK) were reduced by ≈9.4%, attributed to real-time optimization of inventory sourcing based on emissions-intensity coefficients and SAF availability.
- Threshold Compliance: Across 110 KPIs, 97% threshold compliance was maintained under AISAL control compared to 68% under manual governance, confirming the model's KPI-governed execution integrity.
- Ethical Explainability & Auditing: All decisions within AISAL simulations were recorded with metadata tags allowing 100% auditability using traceability and explainability logs. The system flagged three false-positive anomaly detections, which were correctly mitigated by feedback loop learning within two cycles demonstrating ethical self-correction.

Alignment with Research Objectives
Each of the findings above maps directly onto the research objectives:

- Objective 1: To design an agentic architecture capable of intelligent KPI-governed logistics orchestration.
  Finding: 97% threshold compliance confirms successful real-time KPI orchestration.
- Objective 2: To empirically validate AISAL's impact on operational efficiency and sustainability.
  Finding: Reduction in CASK by ≈11.2% and CO_2/RTK by ≈9.4% validate both economic and environmental gains.
- Objective 3: To ensure ethical auditability and adaptive learning within AI agents.
  Finding: 100% explainability and self-correction cycles confirm alignment with IEEE and EU AI governance norms.
- Objective 4: To adapt AI orchestration to aviation constraints.
  Finding: Expert validation and simulation against logistics data confirm AISAL's contextual suitability.

Summary of Findings
The AISAL model succeeds not only in demonstrating theoretical feasibility but in delivering tangible, validated improvements across critical performance areas. Its agentic orchestration of KPIs bridges the longstanding gap between data-rich dashboards and action-based decision systems. Moreover, AISAL's performance affirms that real-time AI orchestration when ethically structured and strategically aligned can deliver multi-dimensional value in constrained logistics environments such as those in aviation.

Discussion

Interpretation of Results

The simulation evidences that a four-layer, multi-role agentic architecture can transform KPI monitoring from passive observation into active, auditable control. The observed 22% gain in forecast accuracy, ≈11.2% reduction in CASK, ≈9.4% decline in CO_2/RTK, a 17.5% uplift in resilience, and 97% KPI-threshold compliance confirm that real-time orchestration of Perception–Cognition–Strategy–Action loops is both feasible and advantageous for airline logistics. These outcomes close the long-standing gap between variance detection and corrective execution, reducing decision latency and eliminating many manual escalation bottlenecks. Ethical self-correction illustrated by rapid mitigation of flagged false positives shows that explainability and bias monitoring can be embedded within the operational core without sacrificing performance.

Comparison with Existing Literature

The findings of this study both corroborate and extend prior literature in the domains of AI logistics, agent-based systems, and airline performance management:

- Alignment with Digital Logistics Research: AISAL contributes empirical evidence that agent-based models can rapidly reconfigure logistics pathways during parts shortages and system bottlenecks.
- Advancement in KPI Utilization: While previous literature has addressed airline KPIs such as CASK and RTK from a monitoring perspective, AISAL operationalizes these indicators within a closed AI loop transforming them into active decision levers rather than passive reporting metrics.
- Departure from Traditional AI Dashboards: Conventional platforms (e.g., SAP Leonardo, IBM Watson Supply Chain) rely heavily on human-in-the-loop oversight. In contrast, AISAL achieves autonomous execution while embedding full-spectrum explainability, traceability, and bias auditing aligning it more closely with emerging agentic AI frameworks.
- Ethical Compliance and Transparency: The model adheres to ethical guidelines outlined by the EU AI Act and IEEE standards, integrating metadata tagging and self-corrective feedback. This moves beyond abstract ethical declarations by operationalizing auditability within live decision flows.

Implications for Theory

This study introduces several theoretical contributions across AI, logistics, and strategic governance domains:

- Extension of Agentic AI Theory: AISAL moves the discourse on agent-based systems from prototype-level discussion to functional, domain-specific implementation, particularly within high-stakes and performance-sensitive sectors such as aviation logistics.
- Integration with Digital Maturity Models: The AISAL framework supports the progression from basic digitization (Level 2) to intelligent orchestration (Level 4) as outlined in the Digital Maturity Model. It positions AI as a catalyst for advancing logistics maturity through KPI governance.
- Proposition of an AI–KPI Convergence Paradigm: The study introduces a conceptual framework in which KPIs are not merely tracked but embedded into the logic and ethics of AI agents. This positions KPIs as structural elements within cognition loops linking performance, sustainability, and governance in a unified decision ecosystem.

Implications for Practice

For practitioners and aviation stakeholders, AISAL offers multiple strategic advantages:

- Operational Efficiency: The CASK reduction of 11.2% indicates immediate cost-saving potential, especially amid fluctuating fuel prices, fleet maintenance variability, and increasing cost pressures.
- Resilience and Continuity Planning: A 17.5% improvement in resilience reinforces AISAL's utility in supporting contingency logistics, emergency rerouting, and dynamic parts prioritization.
- Strategic Decision Support for Executives: The inclusion of explainability logs and ethical audit trails allows Chief Logistics Officers (CLOs), Maintenance Managers, and Executive Boards to monitor and trust real-time agentic decisions without sacrificing control or governance compliance.
- Sustainability and ESG Reporting: With quantifiable reductions in CO_2/RTK and support for emissions-intensity-based procurement, AISAL contributes directly to ICAO and IATA-aligned environmental goals and ESG audit readiness.

Concluding Integration

In summary, AISAL represents a pivotal step in transitioning from descriptive AI systems to autonomous, accountable, and performance-optimized logistics orchestration. Its contributions lie not only in simulation-based gains but also in presenting a deployable, scalable architecture that fuses strategic KPIs, ethical governance, and agentic intelligence. As airline logistics continue to face volatility, sustainability imperatives, and digital complexity, AISAL offers both a theoretical framework and a practical solution ready for cross-sectoral adoption.

Conclusion

Summary of Key Findings

This study proposed and empirically validated the AI Strategy Agent for Airline Logistics (AISAL) a four-layer agentic AI architecture (Perception, Cognition, Strategy, Action) as a transformative solution for real-time orchestration of 110 validated KPIs in the airline logistics ecosystem. The model demonstrated a 22% improvement in forecast accuracy, 11.2% reduction in Cost per Available Seat Kilometer (CASK), and enhanced resilience and ESG alignment in digitally constrained environments. AISAL operationalizes KPI governance and optimization through autonomous loops embedded with ethical oversight, explainability, and sustainability scoring addressing long-standing challenges in digital logistics transformation, particularly within geopolitically sensitive or under-digitized markets. The findings confirm that KPI–AI convergence is not only theoretically sound but practically executable, marking a significant advancement in both academic theory and aviation management practice.

Recommendations for Practitioners and Policymakers

For airline executives, logistics directors, and policymakers, the following actionable recommendations are derived from the study:

- Adopt AISAL or Similar Agentic Architectures: Transition from descriptive dashboards to autonomous agents capable of real-time KPI orchestration for inventory management, AOG scenarios, and supplier reliability optimization.
- Integrate Ethical AI Protocols: Embed explainability, transparency, and ESG scoring mechanisms within logistics AI systems to ensure compliance with the EU AI Act, IATA ESG metrics, and ICAO climate reporting frameworks.
- Develop KPI-AI Governance Units: Establish dedicated units for KPI governance integration with AI systems, enabling synchronized strategic alignment across departments including operations, safety, procurement, and sustainability.
- Invest in Localized Digital Twins: Support the development of region-specific digital twin infrastructures to simulate logistics resilience and sustainability trade-offs in geopolitically constrained regions.
- Engage in Cross-Sector AI Benchmarking: Use the AISAL model as a template for public-private collaboration in developing national or regional frameworks for AI-enabled supply chain resilience and sustainability in aviation and tourism.

Scholarly Continuity and Model Integration

- AISAL extends a sustained KPI-centric research stream in aviation logistics and maintenance, advancing prior work on inventory optimization [26], multi-layer AI performance frameworks [27], and logistics efficiency metrics [28]. Its governance, explainability, and compliance modules are conceptually anchored in earlier studies on predictive maintenance [29] and CAMO KPI frameworks [30]. These foundations supplied the KPI taxonomy, ethical criteria, and resilience logic that AISAL operationalizes within its ten-domain, real-time orchestration loop. By fusing these contributions into a single agentic architecture, AISAL converts fragmented KPI monitoring into auditable, autonomous decision flows adaptable to diverse airline contexts.

Limitations of the Study

While the AISAL framework has been rigorously validated, several limitations must be acknowledged:

- Geographical Scope: The study's primary empirical context was limited to the aviation sector, which may restrict generalizability to other national or global airline systems operating under different regulatory or infrastructural conditions.
- Sample Size and Scope: The expert elicitation phase involved a purposive sample of 11 stakeholders, which, while qualitatively robust, limits broader generalization and statistical extrapolation.
- Technology Constraints: Simulation capabilities were bounded by the availability of digital twin environments and data access constraints due to national security and airline-specific confidentiality policies.
- Temporal Data Limitation: Forecasting and performance evaluation relied on historical KPI data from the past five years, which may not fully capture long-term behavioral shifts post-pandemic or during geopolitical shocks.

Directions for Future Research

Future research should address the above limitations and further expand the operational and strategic horizon of agentic AI in airline logistics. Specific avenues include:

- Cross-National Comparative Studies: Apply and test AISAL in other contexts e.g., Southeast Asia, MENA, and European LCC carriers to validate performance variability across regulatory and infrastructural ecosystems.
- Multi-Agent Simulation Models: Integrate AISAL into multi-agent environments to study coordination between airport authorities, ground handling agents, and regional MRO units under disruption scenarios.
- Real-Time ESG Impact Modeling: Extend AISAL to embed real-time carbon monitoring and dynamic sustainability scoring, enabling immediate policy feedback loops tied to environmental KPIs.
- Hybrid Human–AI Governance Models: Explore the interaction between human decision-makers and autonomous agents under layered accountability protocols, building on theories of trust-based AI co-leadership.

- Integration with Industry 5.0 Models: Investigate the role of AISAL in facilitating human–AI symbiosis within Industry 5.0 aviation strategies, with emphasis on personalization, resilience, and stakeholder co-creation.

References

[1] Kolmykova, A. (2020). *KI in der Logistik – Multiagentenbasierte Planung und Steuerung in der Transportlogistik* (pp. 299–310). Springer Gabler, Wiesbaden. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-29550-9_16

[2] Castro, A. J. M., & Rocha, A. P. (2017). *Managing Disruptions with a Multi-Agent System for Airline Operations Control* (pp. 307–310). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-59930-4_26

[3] Tse, Y. K., Chan, T. M., & Lie, R. H. (2009). Solving Complex Logistics Problems with Multi-Artificial Intelligent System. *International Journal of Engineering Business Management*, *1*(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.5772/6781

[4] Yüksel, K. A., & Sawaf, H. (2024). *A Multi-AI Agent System for Autonomous Optimization of Agentic AI Solutions via Iterative Refinement and LLM-Driven Feedback Loops*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2412.17149

[5] Zong, Z., Yan, H., Sui, H., Li, H., & Jiang, P. (2023). *An AI-based Simulation and Optimization Framework for Logistic Systems*. https://doi.org/10.1145/3583780.3614732

[6] Kitzmann, H., Strimovskaya, A., & Elena, S. (2023). *Application of Artificial Intelligence Methods for Improvement of Strategic Decision-Making in Logistics* (pp. 132–143). Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-50192-0_13

[7] Chen, W., Men, Y., Fuster, N., Osorio, C., & Juan, À. A. (2024). Artificial Intelligence in Logistics Optimization with Sustainable Criteria: A Review. *Sustainability*, *16*(21), 9145. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16219145

[8] Raghavan, S. (2023). Metrics for sustainable aviation finance. *Journal of International Finance and Economics*, *23*(1), 129–141. https://doi.org/10.18374/jife-23-1.9

[9] Fatorachian, H. (2024). Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for Optimizing Logistics Performance: A Comprehensive Review. *Global Journal of Business & Social Science Review*, *12*(3), 146–160. https://doi.org/10.35609/gjbssr.2024.12.3(5)

[10] Royappa, A., Venkatesh, K., Purushothaman, N., Moorthy, A., & Solainayagi, P. (2024). *AI-Driven Optimization for Freight and Logistics Management Using Predictive Analytics*. 677–682. https://doi.org/10.1109/cybercom63683.2024.10803177

[11] Alsakhen, I., Buics, L., & Süle, E. (2024). AI-driven resilience in revolutionizing supply chain management: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development*, *8*(16), 9474. https://doi.org/10.24294/jipd9474

[12] Attah, R. U., Garba, B. M. P., Gil-Ozoudeh, I., & Iwuanyanwu, O. (2024). Enhancing supply chain resilience through artificial intelligence: Analyzing problem-solving approaches in logistics management. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, *6*(12), 3883–3901. https://doi.org/10.51594/ijmer.v6i12.1745

[13] Narayanan, P. N. S., Ghapar, F., Chew, L. L., Sundram, V. P. K., Naidu, B. M., Zulfakar, M. H., & Daud, A. (2024). Artificial Intelligence-Powered Risk Assessment in Supply Chain Safety. *Information Management and Business Review*, *16*(3S(I)a), 107–114. https://doi.org/10.22610/imbr.v16i3s(i)a.4124

[14] Rathi, V. K., Chaudhary, V., Rajput, N. K., Ahuja, B., Jaiswal, A. K., Gupta, D., Elhoseny, M., & Hammoudeh, M. (2020). *A Blockchain-Enabled Multi Domain Edge Computing Orchestrator*. *3*(2), 30–36. https://doi.org/10.1109/IOTM.0001.1900089

[15] Wang, F., & Kang, T. (2015). Governance Mechanisms of Logistics Service Integrated Network. *Social Science Research Network*. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2998599

[16] Bento, A. I., Ferreira, L. M. D. F., & Fernandes, G. (2023). *The interplay between governance mechanisms and the integration of logistics operations: a literature review*. 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1109/ice/itmc58018.2023.10332284

[17] Poe, W. Y., Vaishnavi, I., Tusa, F., Melian, J., & Ramos, A. (2017). System architecture of Intelligent Monitoring in multi-domain orchestration. *European Conference on Networks and Communications*, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1109/EUCNC.2017.7980673

[18] Ejjami, R. (2024). *The Adaptive Human-AI Synergy in Logistics (AHASL) Theory*. https://doi.org/10.70792/jngr5.0.v1i1.12

[19] Brochado, Â. F., Rocha, E. M., & Costa, D. (2024). A Modular IoT-Based Architecture for Logistics Service Performance Assessment and Real-Time Scheduling towards a Synchromodal Transport System. *Sustainability*. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16020742

[20] Fernández, J. M., Vidal, I., & Valera, F. (2019). Enabling the Orchestration of IoT Slices through Edge and Cloud Microservice Platforms. *Sensors*, *19*(13), 2980. https://doi.org/10.3390/S19132980

[21] Mandal, J., & Mohammed, I. (2024). Implementation of AI Transportation Routing in Reverse Logistics to Reduce CO2 Footprint. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*, *9*(5), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.47604/ijscm.3079

[22] Budd, T., Intini, M., & Volta, N. (2020). *Environmentally Sustainable Air Transport: A Focus on Airline Productivity* (pp. 55–77). Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-28661-3_4

[23] Paul, P. O., Aderoju, A. V., Shitu, K., Ononiwu, M. I., Igwe, A. N., Ofodile, O. C., & Ewim, C. P.-M. (2024). Predictive analytics and AI in sustainable logistics: A review of applications and impact on SMEs. *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*, *12*(1), 231–251.

[24] Tanveer, M. (2022). *Supply Chain and Logistics Operations Management Under the Era of Advanced Technology* (pp. 126–136). https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-7642-7.ch008

[25] Hofman, W., Punter, L. M., Bastiaansen, H. J. M., Cornelisse, E., Dalmolen, S., Palaskas, Z., Karakostas, B., Gato, J., Garcia, J., Herrero, G., & Gonzalez-Rodrigues, M. (2016). *An interorganizational IT infrastructure for self-organization in logistics: situation awareness and real-time chain composition*. *5*(2), 101–115. https://doi.org/10.1080/2287108X.2016.1195101

[26] MoghadasNian, S. (2025, March 19). *AI-Driven Inventory Optimization in Airline Logistics: Enhancing Efficiency, Sustainability, and Operational Performance*. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in the Age of Digital Transformation*.

[27] MoghadasNian, S., & MahMoudy, M. (2025, April 20). *Airline logistics AI performance framework: A 360-degree, multi-layered KPI approach for safety, sustainability, efficiency, and innovation*. In *Proceedings of the 20th Iranian International Industrial Engineering Conference*.

[28] MoghadasNian, S., & NaziriHosseinpour, P. (2024, July 11). *Airline logistics efficiency: KPI-driven strategies*. *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Advanced Research in Management and Humanities*.

[29] MoghadasNian, S. (2025, April 18). *AI-powered predictive maintenance in aviation operations*. In *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Advanced Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*. Bern, Switzerland.

[30] MoghadasNian, S., & BeheshtiNia, F. (2024, March 14). *Advancing airworthiness assurance in airlines: A KPI-driven framework for CAMO excellence*. In *Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Science and Technology of Electrical, Computer and Mechanical Engineering of Iran*.