Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) for Medical Education and Training

Kennedy G. Lema
Lemitar Labs
kennylema2002@naver.com

Abstract

Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) has garnered worldwide attention as a transformative technology, thanks to the emergence of groundbreaking Large AI Models (LAMs), including Large Language Models, Large Vision Models, and Large Multi-Modal Models. AGI represents an ambitious endeavor to replicate human intelligence within computer systems, making it a pivotal technology poised to revolutionize Medical Training. Fueled by recent advancements in large pre-trained models, AGI signifies a remarkable stride in empowering machines to perform tasks demanding human-level intelligence. These tasks encompass reasoning, problem-solving, decision-making, and even the comprehension of human emotions and social interactions. This work conducts a comprehensive exploration of AGI, elucidating its fundamental concepts, capabilities, scope, and transformative potential in the realm of Medical Education and Training. It specifically delves into Medical Simulation Environments, Interactive Virtual Labs, Humanoid Robots in Medical Education, Continuing Medical Education (CME), Personalized Learning Pathways, Intelligent Tutoring Systems, Natural Language Processing for Medical Texts, Clinical Decision Support, and Automated Assessment Tools. The examination encompasses a thorough analysis of the prospective advantages, challenges, limitations, risks, and ethical considerations that AGI poses to Medical education and training programs, as well as its implications for Medical educators. The development of AGI necessitates fostering interdisciplinary collaboration between educators and AI engineers to propel research and application endeavors in this transformative field.

Keywords: Artificial General Intelligence (AGI), Large AI Models (LAMs), Large Language Models (LLMs), Large Vision Models (LVMs), Large Multimodal Models (LMMs), Medical Education and Training.

1 Introduction

Medical education and training, with their traditional reliance on lectures, textbooks, and clinical rotations, have long been the bedrock for shaping healthcare professionals. While these conventional approaches hold undeniable value, their limitations, including challenges related to scalability, customization, and real-time feedback, have become increasingly evident. In light of the exponential growth in the capabilities of Artificial General Intelligence (AGI), critical questions have emerged concerning the fundamental nature of human learning and the pressing need to adapt traditional educational paradigms (Zhai, 2022). Moreover, as AGI continues its pervasive influence across various sectors, it becomes paramount to explore how educational systems can seamlessly integrate this technology. The ultimate aim is to equip students with the essential skills required to excel in an era increasingly defined by automation (Zhai, 2023a). Of particular concern are the challenges faced by healthcare professionals, including physicians. Foremost among these challenges is the lightning-fast pace of knowledge renewal in the healthcare field. Medical students now grapple with a fivefold increase in knowledge within their very first year, an immense pressure that strains already rigorous curricula. The resulting drop in the rate of knowledge mastery is a source of concern. Furthermore, the post-COVID-19 era has cast uncertainty over the availability of offline practice courses, a matter of particular concern for clinical students. In this context, the development and application of healthcare knowledge may encounter unforeseen obstacles, emphasizing the urgency of innovative solutions (Grunhut, 2021). In this paper, I will systematically explore three crucial domains where AGI is poised to exert a significant influence: Medical Simulation Environments, Personalized Learning Pathways, Intelligent Tutoring Systems, Natural Language Processing for Medical Texts, Clinical Decision Support, Humanoid Robots in Medical Education, Interactive Virtual Labs, Continuing Medical Education (CME), and Automated Assessment Tools. By doing so, I aim to provide a coherent and insightful analysis of AGI's potential to reshape the landscape of medical education and training.

2 AGI

Recent years have witnessed remarkable advancements in artificial intelligence (AI), owing much to developments in key areas such as machine learning (deep learning), computer vision, and natural language processing (Norvig and Russell, 2016; Goodfellow et al., 2016). AI technologies have seamlessly permeated various facets of daily life, including e-commerce, healthcare, transportation, manufacturing, media, entertainment, and education. However, it is crucial to note that the majority of these applications primarily employ narrow or specialized AI, designed for executing specific tasks with exceptional skill, often outperforming humans within well-defined domains (Bostrom, 2014; Lake et al., 2017). Yet, the quest to create Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) remains a formidable challenge (Goertzel et al., 2014). The vision for AGI is to empower computers with the ability to comprehend, acquire knowledge, and perform any intellectual task at a level commensurate with human capability. This aspiration centers on developing systems with a deep understanding of the human condition, functionally mirroring human intelligence (Voss, 2007).

Of particular note are the far-reaching implications of AGI for society, ethics, and politics, giving rise to a myriad of questions, challenges, and opportunities. One of these opportunities is the potential to revolutionize the planning and execution of teaching and learning activities in the field of education. Given the ongoing advancements in AI and the growing interest in AGI systems, it is imperative to examine how AGI can reshape the landscape of Medical education and training in the era of Artificial General Intelligence. This exploration is paramount as we stand on the cusp of a transformative era in the integration of AI in education and its potential ramifications.

2.1 AGI in the Modern Era: The Development of Large AI Models

Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) represents a pinnacle in machine intelligence, characterized by its human-like cognitive abilities. In essence, an AGI agent possesses the capacity to comprehend, learn, and execute any intellectual task within the realm of human capability (Legg et al., 2007). This stands in sharp contrast to narrow or limited AI, designed for excellence in specific tasks or domains, whereas AGI systems aim to replicate the general-purpose problem-solving abilities of humans (Wang, 2019). AGI distinguishes itself from limited AI in several pivotal ways, underlining its overarching goal of achieving human-like intelligence in AI systems. One of these distinguishing features is its autonomy, enabling AGI to function independently, make judgments, and take actions without continuous human supervision. This level of autonomy equips AGI to navigate complex, dynamic scenarios and adapt to unforeseen conditions (Zhai et al., 2021).

Additionally, AGI possesses the ability for general-purpose learning, enabling it to reason and learn across diverse domains. Unlike limited AI, which is confined to specific areas of competence, AGI accumulates knowledge and abilities in a versatile manner, allowing it to solve problems and undertake activities spanning multiple domains (Lake et al., 2017). Moreover, AGI exhibits adaptability, mirroring the capacity for change and growth in response to new knowledge and evolving circumstances. Like humans, AGI systems can modify their behavior and gain experience, a trait that contributes to their human-like learning capabilities (Kahneman, 2011). Another distinctive characteristic is goal orientation, which empowers AGI systems to set and pursue objectives while strategically planning for the future. This trait reflects AGI's awareness of the consequences and ramifications of its actions, indicating a level of intention and purpose (Legg et al., 2007). Achieving AGI involves a multidisciplinary approach, encompassing fields such as computer science, cognitive psychology, neurology, and philosophy (Goertzel et al., 2014). To realize AGI, researchers must delve into the underlying principles of human cognition and replicate these processes in computers while enhancing the processing power of AI systems. As researchers continue to grapple with the challenge of AGI, they are investigating the creation of intelligent AI systems. Notably, Large AI models (LAMs), including Large Language Models (GPT), Large Vision Models (ImageNet-1K), and Large Multi-Modal Models (LVLMs), have recently showcased remarkable proficiency in language and vision understanding, generation, and reasoning. They demonstrate a higher degree of general intelligence compared to previous AI models, leading many to consider them as preliminary versions of AGI systems.

Large Language Models: Shaping the Future of Medicine, In recent times, Large Language Models (LLMs) and their applications, including notable examples like ChatGPT, have gained significant popularity. Within the medical community, there's a growing interest in harnessing off-the-shelf LLMs offered by technology companies. New users are posing an essential question: How will LLMs and the chatbots driven by them transform the landscape of medicine (Kumar et al., 2023)? Perhaps, instead of merely considering the influence of LLMs on medicine, we should turn the question around: How can the intended medical applications guide the training of LLMs and the chatbots or other applications they power? At their core, language models learn the probabilities of word sequences from vast text corpora. For example, given sentences like "Where are we going" and "Where are we at," the probability of the word "going" following the first three words "where are we" is 0.5. Large Language Models (LLMs) essentially scale up this learning process to an enormous extent, resulting in models with billions of parameters. In 2017, Vaswani et al. (2017) introduced a type of deep neural network known as a "transformer," which demonstrated the capacity to learn LLMs that excelled in language translation tasks. This breakthrough spurred the creation of numerous language models, as reviewed by Zhao et al. (2023). While these models are initially trained to predict the next word in a sentence, they exhibit a remarkable capability for tasks beyond prediction. This intrinsic versatility enables them to tackle diverse applications in medicine, including passing medical licensing exams, simplifying radiology reports, extracting drug names from physician's notes, responding to patient queries, summarizing medical dialogues, and even composing histories and physical assessments (Lee P et al., 2023). Among these applications, ChatGPT stands out as one of the most popular, employing an LLM known as a "generative pre-trained transformer" (GPT, versions 3.5 or 4.0) to process and generate text in response to input. LLMs, as elucidated by Izacard et al. (2023) and Raffel et al. (2021), exhibit three distinct characteristics: Parameter-wise Scalability: These models can readily scale up to billions of learnable parameters. Data-wise Training: They draw from large volumes of unlabelled data for pre-training, often involving millions or even billions of data points. Paradigm-wise Learning: LLMs undergo an initial phase of pre-training through weakly- or self-supervised learning methods like masked language modeling (Devlin et al., 2018) and next-token prediction (O., 2023). Subsequently, they are fine-tuned and adapted for various downstream tasks such as question answering and dialogue, where they exhibit impressive performance. Recent strides in LLMs reveal their remarkable capabilities as zero-shot, one-shot, and few-shot learners. They can extract, summarize, translate, and generate textual information with minimal or even no prompt or fine-tuning samples (O., 2023). Furthermore, LLMs demonstrate impressive reasoning capabilities, which can be further enhanced through prompt engineering techniques like Chain-of-Thought prompting (Schuurmans et al., 2022).

Large Vision Models: Revolutionizing Medical Imaging, In recent years, the field of medical imaging has undergone a profound transformation, thanks to the remarkable progress of deep learning. This revolution has fundamentally changed how we analyze and interpret medical images, offering new horizons for healthcare practices. Various deep learning models, notably Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) (Ronneberger et al., 2015; Khan et al., 2018) and Vision Transformers (ViTs) (Dosovitskiy et al., 2020), have emerged as powerful tools, demonstrating exceptional success across a wide spectrum of tasks, including medical image reconstruction, segmentation, and classification. These models are not just theoretical novelties; they have found practical applications in assisting radiologists and clinicians in critical functions such as the identification of abnormalities, localization of tumors, and quantification of disease progression (Khan et al., 2018). A noteworthy recent development in the realm of medical imaging is the emergence of large vision models, exemplified by the Segment Anything (SAM) model (Kirillov et al., 2023). These models hold great promise for propelling advances in the medical imaging field, ultimately contributing to improved patient outcomes and more efficient healthcare practices. ViTs and CNNs represent two major architectural families within Large Vision Models (LVMs). Vision Transformers, originating from pioneering works like ViT (Dosovitskiy et al., 2021) and iGPT (Child et al., 2020), successfully transplanted transformer architectures from natural language processing to computer vision with minimal modification. However, they incurred a significant computational complexity, particularly quadratic to the image size. Later, models like TNT (Wu et al., 2021) and the Swin Transformer (Liu et al., 2023) were introduced to better adapt transformers to visual data. Recently, models like ViT-G/14 (Zhai et al., 2022), SwinV2-G (Lin et al., 2022), and ViT-22B (Mustafa et al., 2023) have substantially scaled up vision transformers using a range of training techniques to achieve state-of-the-art accuracy on various benchmarks. While Vision Transformers seem to be gaining momentum compared to CNNs in developing Large Vision Models, it's essential to note that the latest works, such as ConvNeXt (Liu et al., 2020) and InternImage (Chen et al., 2023), have redesigned CNN architectures with insights from ViTs, achieving state-of-the-art accuracy on datasets like ImageNet. This contradicts the notion that CNNs are inherently inferior to ViTs. Moreover, recent efforts like CoAtNet (Z. Dai et al., 2021) and ConViT (S. d'Ascoli et al.) have sought to merge CNNs and ViTs, creating innovative hybrid architectures. Notably, ViT-22B is currently the largest vision model in existence, surpassing the scale of the most advanced CNNs to date (InternImage). However, it still lags behind the contemporary Large Language Models in terms of scale. In terms of architectural scaling, it's crucial to recognize that simply increasing the model's depth by adding more layers vertically may not be the most optimal strategy, as indicated by prior research (Kolesnikov et al., 2020). Therefore, a line of studies (Chen et al., 2023; Tan et al., 2019) has investigated the rules for effective scaling. Scaling up model size is often coupled with larger-scale pre-training and efficient parallelism to enhance performance. Importantly, Large Vision Models extend their transformative capabilities to fundamental computer vision tasks beyond classification. A significant breakthrough in the segmentation task has been achieved with the Segment Anything (SAM) model (Kirillov et al., 2023). SAM comprises a ViT-H image encoder, a prompt encoder, and a transformer-based mask decoder, which predicts object masks. SAM's remarkable zero-shot generalization ability enables it to segment previously unseen objects and images. To train SAM, the construction of the largest segmentation dataset to date, SA-1B, featuring over 1 billion masks, represents a notable milestone in this field.

Large multi-modal models, such as Large Vision-Language Models (LVLMs), have shown remarkable success in various tasks, expanding their influence into the realm of vision-language understanding (Zhe Gan et al, 2022). This success has spawned a line of research dedicated to exploring the potential of LVLMs, with a focus on both contrastive learning (Alec Radford et al, 2021, Xiyang Dai et al, 2021, Chao Jia et al, 2021, Chunyuan L et al, 2022) and generative modeling (Danny Driess et al, 2023, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac et al, 2022, Jianfeng Wang et al, 2022, and Liu et al, 2023). Remarkably, Liu et al, 2023, have demonstrated that LVLMs exhibit exceptional zero-shot Optical Character Recognition (OCR) performance without explicit training on OCR-specific data. This finding underscores the critical importance of understanding the capabilities of LVLMs in handling text-related visual tasks, considering their unique ability to extract contextual information from various data sources, including text and images. One noteworthy example of a generative pre-trained LVLM is GPT-4 (OpenAI, 2023), which has showcased exceptional visual comprehension and reasoning abilities. While GPT-4 has achieved near-human performance on professional and academic benchmarks, detailed technical specifications of the model remain undisclosed. However, the primary focus of this discussion revolves around a specific category of LVLMs: Large Vision Language Models (LVLMs) that venture beyond vision and language. Typically, LVLMs employ a dual-stream architecture, where input text and images undergo separate encoding processes to extract relevant features. For representation learning, the features from different modalities are either aligned through contrastive learning (A. Radford et al, 2021 and Chen et al, 2021) or fused into a unified representation using an additional encoder (V. Goswami et al., 2022 and Wang et al., 2023). The entire model, encompassing both unimodal and multimodal encoders, undergoes pre-training on large-scale image-text datasets and is subsequently fine-tuned for specific tasks or used for zero-shot tasks without further fine-tuning. Pre-training objectives may involve a combination of multi-modal and unimodal tasks, with common multi-modal tasks encompassing image-text contrastive learning, image-text matching, autoregressive modeling, masked modeling, and image-grounded text generation.

Recent studies suggest that scaling up unimodal encoders and engaging in multi-objective pre-training across both uni- and multi-modalities can significantly enhance multi-modal representation learning. LVLMs have recently made substantial progress in text-to-image generation, employing two main methodologies: autoregressive models (Goh et al., 2021 and Nichol et al., 2022) and diffusion models (Nichol et al., 2022 and Saharia et al., 2022). Autoregressive models concatenate tokens from text and images to predict the next item in a sequence, while diffusion models perturb images with random noise and then progressively denoise them to restore the original image, with text descriptions integrated into the process. It's common to reuse predefined LVLM and LVLM architectures or their pre-trained parameters as encoders, with the scale of these encoders significantly influencing the quality of generation and language understanding. Furthermore, Large Vision-Language Models (LVLMs) have made substantial strides in text-to-image generation, image-grounded text generation, and joint generation, primarily due to increased data, computational resources, and the number of model parameters (Nichol et al, 2021, Chen et al, 2022, Suganthan et al, 2022). Notably, GPT-4 (OpenAI, 2023), after fine-tuning and human feedback alignment, demonstrates the capability to engage in conversations with human users and supports visual inputs.

3 The Potential of AGI in Transforming Future Medical Education and Training

Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) holds the promise of revolutionizing the landscape of education by redefining how teaching, learning, and assessment are approached. AGI-driven educational systems can leverage their broad cognitive abilities and adaptability to gain a deep understanding of individual students, cater to their specific learning requirements, and craft personalized educational experiences (Mohammed and 'Nell' Watson, 2019). This transformation is not limited to traditional education, and the realm of medical education stands to benefit as well. Cutting-edge Language and Medical Models (LAMs), such as GPT-4 (O, 2023) and Med PaLM 2 (K. Singhal, T. Tu, J. Gottweis et al, 2023), have demonstrated impressive performance by achieving scores of over 86% in the United States Medical Licensing Examination (USMLE). These models exhibit a robust knowledge spectrum and reasonable proficiency in areas like bioethics, clinical reasoning, and medical management. The generative capabilities of LAMs open up exciting opportunities for enhancing medical education. These models can augment students' learning experiences by providing additional insights through AI-generated content, as highlighted in (T. H. Kung, M. Cheatham, and A. Medenilla et al, 2023). A well-informed and socially adept LAM can act as a companion learning assistant, offering prompt answers to medical queries and simplifying complex medical terminology and practices. For instance, the latest GPT-4 model (O, 2023) can serve as a Socratic tutor, guiding students to discover answers independently, representing a pivotal step in the practical adoption of LAMs in education, as their instructional methods can be tailored to meet specific needs. Furthermore, LLMs, like ChatGPT (H. Dai, Z. Liu, W. Liao et al, 2023), with their sentence paraphrasing abilities, can assist students with dyslexia in their learning. However, legitimate concerns regarding the misuse of LAMs, such as plagiarism, need to be addressed. A pilot study conducted by (Mitchell et al, 2023) introduced a zero-shot detector named DetectGPT, capable of distinguishing between human-written and LLM-generated text. This research initiative may lead to the development of reliable tools to verify content sources and mitigate the potential drawbacks of LAMs in education. For educators in the field of medical training, LAMs offer the potential to create innovative teaching materials, diverse instructional formats, and alternative modes of content delivery. Drawing from the rich history of medical education, LAMs can assist in crafting personalized and precise course materials tailored to individual students' needs. Moreover, LAMs can bridge geographical gaps by enabling remote medical education, thus extending educational opportunities to students residing in resource-poor regions or from underprivileged backgrounds. Additionally, LAMs can play a crucial role in grading and scoring systems in medical education, such as assessing the surgical skills of a surgeon operating a surgical robot. In clinical training, including nurse training, domain-knowledgeable LAMs can serve as valuable assistants or trainers, ensuring the quality and consistency of training, particularly in repetitive and routine medical courses. In summary, AGI's ability to understand and generate human-like language offers the potential to create educational systems that facilitate peer-to-peer learning and emulate real-life human interactions. These systems encourage critical thinking and problem-solving skills (Rosenschein and Zlotkin, 1994). As AGI technologies continue to evolve, further research is expected to delve into their practical applications in the classroom. This section has synthesized ideas from various articles and research papers to underscore the immense potential of AGI in reshaping medical education and preparing students for a rapidly evolving world. Key concepts explored include contributions to Medical Simulation Environments, Interactive Virtual Labs, Humanoid Robots in Medical Education, Continuing Medical Education (CME), Personalized Learning Pathways, Intelligent Tutoring Systems, Natural Language Processing for Medical Texts, Clinical Decision Support, and Automated Assessment Tools, all aimed at improving educational outcomes for medical students.

3.1 Medical Simulation Environments and Interactive Virtual Labs

Simulation-based learning has long been a highly effective approach for imparting clinical skills to both medical students and professionals. However, the integration of Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) takes this educational method to a new level by creating immersive and highly realistic virtual patient scenarios. These simulations can replicate a diverse range of medical conditions, providing learners with a secure and controlled environment to practice diagnostics, treatment strategies, and decision-making processes. In the realm of medical and healthcare education, simulated training plays an essential role in enabling students to acquire the necessary skills and practice those skills for their future careers. High-fidelity simulators are integral to this type of training. Typically, two common types of simulated patients are employed to gauge students' skills –

stationary manikins and human simulated patients. Stationary manikins, while useful, have limitations as they cannot emulate human movements or respond to trainees' instructions. On the other hand, human-simulated patients, despite their advantages, often struggle to perfectly imitate real patients, leading to less effective and efficient simulations (Chen et al).

The use of technology, in general, has been a tremendous asset to the field of medicine, particularly in the context of education and training. Recent educational methodologies emphasize interactive technologies like Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR). These technologies serve the dual purpose of supporting the education and training of healthcare professionals as well as assisting them during surgical procedures (Zorzal et al, 2020). Simultaneously, gamification has emerged as a valuable tool, incorporating elements of logic and game mechanics into the pedagogical process to make learning more engaging and challenging. Gamification, as described by Ogawa et al. (2015), involves the application of game elements in non-game contexts. Basten (2017) emphasizes that gamification can motivate learning in contexts different from electronic games, which have become increasingly popular in recent decades. Gamified applications have been deployed to tackle a wide range of learning challenges. In a systematic literature review conducted by Gentry et al. (2019), the effectiveness of gamification in healthcare professional education was evaluated in comparison to traditional learning methods. The results of this review indicate that gamification, particularly when used in conjunction with VR for realistic simulation, outperforms traditional learning in terms of skill acquisition, with evidence of moderate to high certainty. Virtual Reality, in particular, has been instrumental in creating immersive surgical simulations that offer students the opportunity to practice and refine their techniques within a secure and controlled environment. In the field of surgery and medicine, VR has been harnessed to craft lifelike simulations of various surgical and medical procedures. This empowers students to gain invaluable experience and enhance their clinical decision-making skills without subjecting them to the risks associated with real-life procedures.

Within virtual training labs, the fusion of Machine Learning/Deep Learning and Virtual Reality has been widely adopted. Machine Learning and Deep Learning techniques enable personalized learning experiences by analyzing student performance data and identifying areas that require improvement. Meanwhile, Virtual Reality provides an immersive platform for training simulations, allowing students to hone their skills without risk (Fatima et al, 2023). In essence, the integration of AGI and advanced technologies in medical education is reshaping the way clinical skills are acquired and honed. By incorporating highly realistic simulations and interactive training methods, future medical professionals are better prepared for the complexities of their field while minimizing risks to real patients and medical infrastructure.

3.2 Humanoid Robots in Medical Education

In the ever-evolving landscape of medical education, robots have emerged as invaluable tools for providing effective simulated training. Educators have increasingly harnessed the capabilities of robots to simulate critical actions and support medical and clinical training (Chen et al). This shift is largely driven by the pressing need for qualified nurses in an era of an aging population. Simulator robots, in particular, have gained prominence in nursing training, particularly in the context of patient transfer. These robots are designed to mimic patient limb movements, aiding nursing students in acquiring essential nursing skills. Furthermore, researchers have delved into the realm of robots' ability to express emotions and experience pain, emulating human-like responses through visual-based feedback. For instance, in a notable example described by (Lee et al, 2021), a robot's pain level was quantified using fuzzy logic and displayed in real-time using a projector and a three-dimensional facial mask during nursing training. This approach enhances the realism of training scenarios and offers a more immersive learning experience. Emotion expression through robots extends beyond pain simulation. Embodied conversational agents, capable of engaging in natural interactions with humans through dialog and non-verbal expressions, have been employed to provide problem-solving skill training and emotional support for caregivers, as outlined by (Bickmore and Gruber et al, 2010). These human-friendly systems and AI robots are becoming increasingly integral to precision education. They enable personalized and natural interactions within real-life physical environments, promoting practical demonstrations and hands-on experiences, as emphasized by (Chen et al., 2020b). In practice, the use of AI robots in precision education has yielded substantial benefits. For example, a quasi-experimental design, as demonstrated by (Zhong et al, 2020), involved 84 junior high school students and showcased the effectiveness of both virtual and physical robots in enhancing students' higher-order thinking skills and reducing cognitive load. The advantages of AI robots in precision education, as highlighted by (Edwards et al., 2018), encompass several key facets:

Facilitating One-to-One Learning: AI robots adapt instruction and communication to individual learners' knowledge levels and learning styles, creating a more personalized learning experience.

Transforming Teacher Roles: AI robots shift the role of educators from traditional instructors to overseers responsible for designing and selecting machine-oriented instruction, monitoring learner progress, and providing pastoral support.

Turning Abstract Concepts into Real-World Problems: AI robots help translate abstract concepts into practical, real-world problems tailored to individual learning needs, promoting comprehensive learning experiences where learners apply theoretical knowledge in practical settings.

Supporting Individualized Pace: AI robots enable students to learn at their own pace using personalized materials, through interactive experimental learning, either individually or collaboratively.

On the other hand, Robotics Training offers a hands-on experience for students to develop their surgical skills and precision. This training is particularly significant in improving patient outcomes in the field of surgery. Robotics Training encompasses a range of surgical skills, including those related to robotic-assisted surgeries. It contributes to enhancing precision and reducing the occurrence of surgical errors, thereby ensuring better patient care and outcomes.

3.3 Continuing Medical Education (CME)

The emergence of Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) is poised to revolutionize communication, information retrieval, and knowledge processing across industries. Continuing Medical Education (CME) is no exception. Healthcare professionals are increasingly turning to digital channels for education, and technology has streamlined the access, interactivity, personalization, and tracking of learning experiences (ACCME, 2019). The fundamental goal of continuing education in healthcare is to ensure that professionals can deliver high-quality, evidence-based care. However, the rapid doubling of medical knowledge every few months has created a challenge for clinicians to stay updated (Elsevier). Paradoxically, it takes an average of 17 years for medical knowledge to translate from research to practice, potentially impacting patient care negatively. The surge in online learning consumption, as noted by ACCME, indicates a strong preference for on-demand and personalized learning. AGI holds the potential to revolutionize CME, offering healthcare professionals advanced tools and resources to stay current with evolving medical advancements and guidelines (ACCME, 2019).

Personalized Learning Pathways (Adaptive Learning)

AGI introduces the concept of adaptive learning platforms that personalize medical education content based on individual learner profiles. Personalized learning prioritizes tailoring instruction to the unique needs and abilities of each student, recognizing their diverse learning preferences, methods, and goals. Personalized learning can be applied in various medical education settings, from traditional classrooms to online environments. It has the potential to enhance student engagement, motivation, and learning outcomes by delivering a more personalized and relevant learning experience. Peng et al. (2019) define personalized adaptive learning as a "technology-empowered pedagogy capable of adapting teaching strategies based on real-time monitoring of learners' differences and individual characteristics." While promising, it necessitates meticulous planning, continuous assessment, and skilled facilitation to be effective. Adaptive learning leverages technology to provide an optimal learning path and tailored content based on each learner's profile, including their learning style, pace, and performance (Bajaj et al., 2018). These platforms utilize data analytics and machine learning techniques to gather information, ultimately delivering targeted lessons and assessments. In medical education, personalized learning pathways have the potential to optimize the allocation of study time and resources.

Intelligent Tutoring Systems

The profound potential of Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) to reshape teaching and learning in medical education is exemplified through Intelligent

Tutoring Systems (ITS). These computer-based educational systems combine knowledge databases with teaching strategies to make dynamic adjustments based on learners' comprehension, strengths, and weaknesses (Cao et al., 2022). ITS comprises four fundamental components: an expert model, a student model, pedagogical knowledge, and an interface. The expert model serves as a benchmark for expert performance against which the learner's progress is assessed. ITS dynamically models the learner's abilities across different subjects or scenarios, adapting pedagogical decisions in real time (Mosa et al., 2018). These systems have wide-reaching applications across various educational fields, including medicine. Accessible tools are available for developing ITS, even for non-programmers, which can be implemented through web-based and mobile platforms. Studies indicate that students who utilize intelligent tutoring systems tend to perform better academically than those relying solely on conventional educational methods. AGI-driven educational systems, equipped with broad cognitive capabilities, can cater to individual learning requirements, creating personalized and effective learning experiences (Mohammed and 'Nell'Watson, 2019). Intelligent Tutoring Systems, leveraging AGI's general-purpose learning capabilities, can dynamically adapt the pace, material, and teaching methods to suit individual students' progress and preferences, enhancing the learning environment (Graesser et al., 2005).

3.4 Clinical Decision Support

Clinical Decision Support (CDS) plays a pivotal role in the healthcare domain by providing essential information and recommendations to both healthcare professionals and patients precisely when they need it, at the point of care (Balas EA, et al). The rise in the adoption of electronic health records (EHR) has ushered in a concurrent increase in the utilization of CDS. Notably, rule-based CDS alerts have become an integral part of certified EHR systems, offering patient- and task-specific recommendations (CDS, 2021). These rule-based CDS alerts hold substantial potential for improving clinical practice (Bright TJ et al). An exciting development in this field is the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and large AI models (LAMs) to enhance the efficacy of CDS. In a study by Siru Liu et al., in 2023, CDS logic summaries were provided to ChatGPT, an AI tool designed for question answering that leverages a large language model. Human clinician reviewers were tasked with evaluating the AI-generated suggestions, comparing them with human-generated suggestions aimed at enhancing the same CDS alerts and rating the suggestions based on criteria such as usefulness, acceptance, relevance, understanding, workflow, bias, inversion, and redundancy. The results were compelling, suggesting that AI-generated suggestions could play a vital role in complementing the optimization of CDS alerts. They have the potential to identify areas for improvement in alert logic and facilitate the implementation of these improvements. Additionally, AI-generated suggestions could even assist domain experts in formulating their ideas for enhancing CDS. This approach represents a significant step in the development of an advanced learning health system (Siru Liu et al, 2023).

The promise of large language models like ChatGPT, coupled with reinforcement learning from human feedback, offers a powerful tool for enhancing CDS alert logic and potentially addressing complex clinical logic challenges in various medical domains. This innovative approach holds the potential to revolutionize how healthcare professionals make decisions and deliver patient care, marking a significant advancement in the field of healthcare informatics and CDS.

3.5 Natural Language Processing for Medical Texts

The Acceleration of Natural Language Processing (NLP) in Medical Education. The application of Natural Language Processing (NLP) in the realm of medical education has witnessed remarkable acceleration in recent years (Michael et al., 2018). NLP, in essence, seeks to imbue machines with the ability to interpret human language, akin to human comprehension. NLP serves to reformat textual information in a manner that facilitates subsequent analysis through machine learning or artificial intelligence techniques. This text can originate from a variety of sources, including clinician documentation, billing records, transcripts of patient-provider interactions, or even conversations on social media platforms. NLP effectively transforms this text into a structured data stream, which can then be combined with data streams from physiological monitoring devices like cardiac monitors, pulse oximeters, wearables, or laboratory tests. NLP has already demonstrated significant success in certain aspects of medical decision-making, offering tools for risk stratification (Agah A., 2014), identification of postoperative complications following inpatient surgery based on physician notes (Matheny ME, et al., 2011), and the ability to triage patients through syndrome identification (Wagner MM, et al., 2005). More recently, NLP models have advanced significantly in the extraction of meaning from unstructured health data. As a result, computers are poised to shoulder increasingly repetitive tasks that were formerly relegated to human experts (Feller et al., 2018), (Mea et al., 2020). Over the last few years, NLP models have been instrumental in enhancing sentence-level tasks, with much of this progress made possible through the utilization of pre-trained models (Devlin et al., 2019). These techniques leverage the principles of transfer learning and contextual word embedding models, such as ELMo, ULMFiT, and BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers) ((Devlin et al., 2019).

The Potential of AGI-Powered NLP in Medical Education Harnessing AGI-powered Natural Language Processing holds the promise of revolutionizing the analysis of medical textbooks, research papers, and clinical case studies. This transformative capability is poised to create intelligent summarization tools and streamline the extraction of crucial information for educational purposes. AGI-powered NLP systems exhibit a unique proficiency in dissecting complex medical texts, spanning from scholarly articles to clinical case reports, with remarkable precision. These systems navigate through medical literature with exceptional efficiency, unraveling intricate terminology, and consistently distilling relevant information. In this regard, they outpace human capabilities significantly.

3.6 Automated Assessment Tools

AI-based techniques have been developed to fully or partially automate parts of the traditional assessment practice. AI can generate assessment tasks, find appropriate peers to grade work, and automatically score student work. These techniques offload tasks from humans to AI and help to make assessment practices more feasible to maintain (Z. Swiecki et al.,). One of the critical components of assessment design is the task used to elicit evidence to support claims about learning. In recent years, a handful of studies have been proposed to apply AI techniques to automate the generation of such assessment tasks, such as multiple-choice questions and open-answer questions. Typically, these studies are built upon AI techniques driven by deep neural networks. For instance, Jia, Zhou, Sun, and Wu (2020) proposed to improve the quality of the generated questions in a two-step manner: the representation of input text is derived by applying a Rough Answer and Key Sentence Tagging scheme, and then the input representation is further used by an Answer-guided Graph Convolutional Network to capture the inter-sentences and intra-sentence relations for question generation. The success of such approaches often relies on the availability of large-scale and relevant datasets used to train those deep neural network models. When using these datasets to train a question generator, the source document related to each question (e.g., the transcript of a lecture video or a piece of reading material) often contains multiple sentences, and not every sentence is question-worthy. This suggests that the question-worthy sentences in an article should be first identified before we use them as input to the question generator. Driven by these findings, Chen, Yang, and Gasevic (2019) investigated the effectiveness of a total of nine sentence selection strategies in question generation and found that the stochastic graph-based method, LexRank, gave the most robust performance across multiple datasets. While automated question generation can be a powerful tool for making assessment design more feasible for educators because Traditional assessment practice has tended to focus on judging an artefact produced by the learner, such as an essay, a laboratory report or a completed examination sheet. The main reason it has been difficult, if not impossible, to track learning processes is that it is very time and resource-intensive. Constant monitoring of progress and the ongoing collection of indicators that allow inferences of cognitive and metacognitive processes are required. These can include self-report, behavioural, psychophysiological and other data. Collecting and analysing these data to date has been arduous, requiring specialised equipment, laboratories and analysis. Building of AGI-powered automated assessment tools can play a pivotal role in improving the quality and objectivity of assessments in medical education. These tools can be provide several benefits: Objective Evaluation: By minimizing human biases and subjectivity, AGI ensures that learners are evaluated fairly and objectively. Assessments are based on actual competencies rather than external factors, ensuring a level playing field for all. Individualized Feedback: Automated assessment tools offer personalized feedback to learners. They identify areas for improvement and recommend targeted activities, creating tailored learning pathways for each individual. Quality Improvement: These tools provide instructors and educational institutions with data-driven insights into the effectiveness of teaching methodologies and course materials. This information enables continuous improvement, resulting in better educational experiences.

4 Challenges, Limitations, and Risks

The integration of Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) into medical education and training is undoubtedly promising, but it comes with its own set of challenges, limitations, and associated risks.

4.1 Data

One of the primary concerns when dealing with AGI in medical education and training is data privacy. Large language models (LLMs) have demonstrated an exceptional capacity to memorize their training data (N. Carlini et al, 2021). Even more concerning is the potential to extract sensitive information from this memorized data through direct prompts (N. Carlini et al, 2021), (C. Zhang et al, 2022). While measures have been taken to address these concerns, such as fine-tuning LLMs to avoid answering certain prompts (Li et al, 2023), it has been revealed that this mitigation can be circumvented using cleverly crafted prompts known as "jailbreaking."

Furthermore, the issue of membership inference attacks has been identified (R. Shokri et al, 2017), and it has been observed that LLMs can inadvertently leak personal information (Huang et al, 2022). Notably, GPT-4 has the potential to be exploited to identify private individuals and associate personal information like geographic locations and phone numbers (OpenAI, 2023). Users' interactions with LLM-integrated applications may inadvertently lead to data leaks, raising serious privacy concerns. OpenAI's data policy in 2023 indicated that they store user-provided data for ChatGPT and model training. Unfortunately, this stored personal information can be unintentionally leaked due to a "chat history" bug (OpenAI, 2023) or, more alarmingly, through deliberate indirect prompt injection attacks (S. Mishra et al, 2023).

Medical Data Utilization

The utilization of medical data in AGI models presents unique challenges related to data privacy and compliance with regulatory bodies (Li et al., 2023). One potential solution could be the implementation of local LLMs within healthcare facilities. This approach may help mitigate privacy concerns while still enabling models to learn from a wide range of clinical data.

Data Accessibility

Accessing medical data for AGI models involves not only technical challenges but also legal and ethical complexities (Feudtner et al, 2020). Balancing the necessity of data access for model training and operation against the privacy rights of patients and healthcare providers is a complex and delicate task.

Preparation and Curation of Healthcare Data

A significant challenge in AGI applications within healthcare is the need for both image and corresponding text data. Comprehensive datasets similar to the MIMIC series are essential for effective LLM training in healthcare applications (Johnson et al, 2016). However, such datasets are limited in availability, making their curation and preparation a critical hurdle.

Data Imbalance

Real-world clinical data often exhibits imbalances, with certain conditions, demographics, or other variables being disproportionately represented (Jiang et al., 2022), (Ghosh et al, 2022). This data imbalance poses a challenge for models that rely on balanced, representative data to ensure robust and unbiased outputs.

In navigating these challenges and limitations, it is imperative to strike a balance between harnessing the potential of AGI in medical education and training while safeguarding patient privacy, ensuring data access complies with regulations, and addressing data-related complexities effectively.

4.2 Fairness and Bias in Algorithms

The critical issue of fairness has emerged as a significant concern within machine learning (ML) research communities, and it holds vital importance for ensuring the stability and unbiased nature of downstream predictions. Recent studies have emphasized that language models can inadvertently amplify and perpetuate biases, primarily because they are trained on historical data (OpenAI, 2023), (Shah, D.S., H.A. Schwartz, and D. Hovy, 2020). In healthcare, this concern is especially relevant, as these biases can unintentionally perpetuate inequalities and have profound consequences. A study has even demonstrated that text generated by GPT-3 can capture social bias (Abid, A., M. Farooqi, and J. Zou et al, 2021). While there is a considerable body of research on fairness in ML and natural language processing (NLP) in general, addressing gender and racial bias, the biomedical domain remains relatively unexplored in this context. This limitation is partly due to the lack of demographic information in many medical datasets, owing to privacy concerns in healthcare practices. Biased and unfair models within the biomedical and health domain can lead to detrimental outcomes and adversely impact the quality of patient treatment (Obermeyer, Z., et al, 2019), (Sourlos, et al, 2022), and (Vyas et al, 2023).

It's important to note that large language models (LLMs) are data-driven, and they can inherit biases present in the training data. Regrettably, biases are prevalent not only in healthcare delivery (K. M. Lee et al, 2015) but also in the data collected throughout the process (Z. Obermeyer et al, 2019), (D. Cirillo et al, 2020), and (D. S. Char, 2018). Machine learning models trained on such data can inadvertently reproduce human biases related to race (Z. Obermeyer et al, 2019), gender, politics (J. Rutkowski et al, 2023), and more. Additionally, LLMs introduce language bias, as their training data is often dominated by a few languages (T. Y. Zhuo et al, 2023).

When it comes to Artificial General Intelligence (AGI), it's widely recognized that AGI models have the potential to amplify existing societal inequalities and biases present in the training data (Bommasani et al., 2021; Touvron et al., 2023). This risk arises because AGI models, after training on large and potentially biased internet-scale data, transfer their inherently biased model representations to various downstream tasks. Improper modeling decisions, unbalanced training or adaptation data, and model compression methods can all contribute to these biases. Additionally, existing foundation models like ChatGPT and GPT-4 may exhibit geographic and temporal biases, favoring data-rich regions and current facts (Mai et al., 2023).

Addressing data bias and its impact on AGI model bias is a critical ethical concern, particularly when considering the use of AGI for educational purposes. Careful consideration and mitigation of these biases are imperative to ensure the responsible and equitable deployment of AGI in education and healthcare.

4.2 Ethical and Legal Issues/Concerns

4.3 Ethics

Ethics, as a fundamental compass of human behavior, has its roots deep in philosophy (Janowicz, 2023). It's encapsulated by Jonas' ethic of responsibility, a reformation of Kant's initial categorical imperative. This perspective posits that our actions should be geared toward ensuring that their effects are compatible with the preservation of genuine human life (Jonas, 1984). In the realm of science and research, ethics encompasses a wide spectrum of moral considerations. These considerations permeate all aspects of professional and scientific activities, including research, publication, data collection, data analysis, model development, and model evaluation (Nelson et al., 2022). As Janowicz (Janowicz, 2023) aptly points out, ethics is relevant to nearly every domain of study, transcending fields like biology, medicine, cognitive science, and social science. With the advent of new technology and methodologies, various branches of domain-specific ethics have emerged, such as BioEthics, GeoEthics, and AI Ethics (Gazzaniga, 2005; Peppoloni and Di Capua, 2015; Goodchild, 2021; Jobin et al., 2019).

4.4 AGI Ethics and Their Implications in Medical Education and Training

Recent years have witnessed continuous debates regarding the legal and ethical concerns of using AI in medicine and healthcare (N. et al., 2022). The surge of interest in Large Language Models (LLMs) like ChatGPT has prompted discussions on the ethical and legal aspects of their use in medical research and practices (Sallam et al, 2023), (J., et al, 2023).

To address these concerns, there's a growing consensus on the need for a robust legal framework that encompasses transparency, equity, privacy, and accountability. Such a framework is envisioned to ensure the safe development, validation, deployment, and continuous monitoring of LLMs, taking into account their inherent limitations and associated risks (M. et al., 2023). The ethical implications of deploying large vision models in healthcare are multifaceted. Issues like data privacy, patient consent, and potential biases in these models require careful consideration. Large vision models rely heavily on extensive datasets for training, which raises concerns about the privacy and security of patient information, as well as vulnerabilities of healthcare systems to cybersecurity threats like backdoor attacks (Shi et al,2023), (Yuan et al, 2023). Implementing strict data governance policies, anonymization techniques (Liu et al., 2023), and adherence to regulatory frameworks such as HIPAA are paramount to safeguarding patient privacy. Additionally, addressing and mitigating biases present in the training data (Liu et al., 2023), (Cirillo et al., 2020) is crucial. These biases, if left unaddressed, could inadvertently translate into disparities in healthcare outcomes. Ensuring fair and equitable deployment of these models across diverse patient populations is imperative.

As AI applications continue to expand into various aspects of our lives, ethical issues have risen to the forefront of the AI community's attention. Responsible machine learning principles have been advocated to guide AI technologists in the development of AI models. These principles aim to minimize the risks associated with AI technology and maximize its benefits to the public, all while ensuring the ethical and responsible development of AI models. These principles include human augmentation, bias evaluation, explainability by justification, reproducible operations, displacement strategy, practical accuracy, trust by privacy, and data risk awareness. They provide a framework for the ethical development of AI, with applications in diverse fields, including biomedicine and geospatial technology (for Ethical AI and Learning).

5 Discussion

The extensive implications of integrating Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) and Large AI Models (LAMs) into medical education and training, building upon the preceding sections. It delves into the opportunities, challenges, and ethical concerns inherent in this integration, offering a comprehensive perspective on the transformative potential and the necessary precautions.

Transformation of Medical Education and Training: The amalgamation of AGI and Large AI models (LAMs), including Large Language Models (GPT-4 and Med PaLM 2), Large Vision Models, and Large Multi-Modal Models with medical education and training opens doors to a revolution in pedagogical approaches. LAMs boast substantial knowledge and the capacity to enhance the educational journey for medical students. They can act as personalized learning assistants, providing explanations, answering queries, and encouraging peer-to-peer learning. Furthermore, they diversify teaching formats and extend learning opportunities to students in remote or underserved areas. With the creation of virtual patient scenarios driven by AGI, medical simulation environments reach new heights of realism. These environments offer students a safe space to practice diagnostics and treatment, thereby honing their clinical skills without exposing real patients to undue risk.

Simulation and Virtual Labs: The application of AGI and immersive technologies such as virtual reality and augmented reality significantly advances the field of medical simulation. These technologies enhance the acquisition of clinical skills, reducing the costs and risks associated with real-life procedures. Furthermore, gamification principles make learning engaging and challenging. Students can experiment with various scenarios and analyze the outcomes of their decisions without putting patients, medical infrastructure, or medical personnel at risk.

Robots in Medical Education: The increased utilization of robots in medical training, particularly in nursing, showcases the value of AGI-driven technology. Simulator robots can replicate patient movements and even simulate emotional responses. They provide students with a consistent and precise learning experience, filling a crucial role in repetitive training tasks and maintaining high-quality training.

the future.

Continuing Medical Education (CME): Continuing Medical Education (CME) stands as a cornerstone in the pursuit of excellence in healthcare practice. With the integration of AGI into the realm of medical education and training, CME experiences significant enhancement.AGI offers a dynamic approach to CME, tailoring the learning experience to the individual needs of healthcare professionals. Traditional CME often follows a one-size-fits-all model, where all practitioners receive the same information and resources. AGI, however, takes personalization to a new level. Personalized Learning Pathways (Adaptive Learning), AGI opens the door to personalized learning pathways, ushering in a new era of tailored and effective learning. Conventional educational approaches are often uniform, offering the same content and resources to all learners. However, every medical student or practitioner is unique, with distinct strengths, weaknesses, and preferred learning styles. AGI-driven adaptive learning platforms address this variability. These platforms delve into individual learner profiles, meticulously collecting data on progress, areas of strength, and, crucially, weaknesses. This data forms the foundation for highly customized learning journeys. Learners are guided through content and assessments specifically designed to address their knowledge gaps.

Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS): AGI holds the potential to revolutionize the creation of Intelligent Tutoring Systems. These adaptive learning platforms can offer personalized learning experiences that cater to individual students' progress, preferences, and learning styles. Large Language Models (LLMs) like GPT-4 contribute to the development of ITS by providing feedback, generating educational content, and facilitating peer-to-peer learning. As AGI continues to evolve, its applications in education and other domains expand, offering exciting possibilities for

Clinical Decision Support (CDS): Clinical decision support systems represent a significant aspect of AGI integration in healthcare. The optimization of CDS by AGI models, especially LLMs like ChatGPT, demonstrates the potential to improve complex clinical logic. This progress can contribute to the development of advanced learning health systems by enhancing the quality and relevance of alerts, thus furthering the integration of AGI in medical education.

Natural Language Processing for Medical Texts: In the realm of medical education and training, the advent of Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) brings forth a transformative capability in the form of Natural Language Processing (NLP) for medical texts. This innovative application of AGI leverages the proficiency of machines in understanding and processing human language to access and comprehend the vast troves of medical information available. AGI-powered NLP systems possess the aptitude to dissect complex medical texts, from scholarly articles to clinical case reports, with remarkable precision. These systems can swiftly navigate through medical literature, decipher intricate jargon, and distill relevant information with a level of efficiency and consistency that surpasses human capacity.

Automated Assessment Tools: AGI-powered automated assessment tools play a pivotal role in improving the quality and objectivity of assessments in medical education. These tools provide several benefits: Objective Evaluation: By minimizing human biases and subjectivity, AGI ensures that learners are evaluated fairly and objectively. Assessments are based on actual competencies rather than external factors, ensuring a level playing field for all. Individualized Feedback: Automated assessment tools offer personalized feedback to learners. They identify areas for improvement and recommend targeted activities, creating tailored learning pathways for each individual. Quality Improvement: These tools provide instructors and educational institutions with data-driven insights into the effectiveness of teaching methodologies and course materials. This information enables continuous improvement, resulting in better educational experiences. Challenges, Limitations, and Risks: While AGI offers substantial benefits, it comes with several challenges. Data privacy is a paramount concern, as LLMs can memorize training data and potentially expose sensitive information. Issues related to data accessibility, data imbalance, and the preparation of healthcare data add layers of complexity to the integration of AGI in medical education. Scarce comprehensive datasets and data imbalances pose significant hurdles. The amplification of biases by LLMs is another critical concern, potentially introducing biases into healthcare practices.

Ethical and Legal Concerns: The ethical dimension of AI, particularly in healthcare, cannot be overlooked. Establishing a robust legal framework is imperative to ensure transparency, equity, privacy, and accountability in the development and deployment of LLMs. This is particularly crucial for safe development, validation, deployment, and continuous monitoring of LLMs, taking into account the inherent limitations and risks. Patient data privacy, biases in training data, and the potential propagation of biases in healthcare outcomes require focused attention and mitigation.

AGI Ethics and Implications: The ethical considerations surrounding AGI extend to its potential to amplify societal inequalities and biases. Large language models can inadvertently perpetuate existing biases and toxicity, leading to unfair and harmful outcomes. The responsible development of AGI models is imperative, considering their broader societal implications.

6 Conclusion

The integration of Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) into medical education has the potential to transform how healthcare professionals are trained and updated. AGI-powered tools can enhance learning by providing personalized, interactive, and adaptive educational experiences. From medical simulations and virtual labs to intelligent tutoring systems and natural language processing for medical texts, AGI offers a wide range of applications in medical education.

However, addressing challenges related to data privacy, ethics, resource requirements, and user experience is essential for the successful implementation of AGI in medical education. Continuous updates, validation, and a commitment to lifelong learning are also necessary to harness the full potential of AGI in healthcare training.

As AGI technology continues to advance, it is likely to become an integral part of medical education, ensuring that healthcare professionals are equipped with the knowledge and skills needed to provide the best possible care to patients. By leveraging the power of AGI, the future of medical education holds great promise for improving patient outcomes and advancing the field of medicine.

7 References

1. . Zhai, X. 2022. Chatgpt user experience: Implications for education. Available at SSRN 4312418.

2. Zhai, X. 2023a. Chatgpt and ai: The game changer for education. Available at SSRN. Zhai, X. 2023b. Chatgpt for next-generation science learning. Available at SSRN 4331313. 3

3. Grunhut J, Wyatt AT, and Marques O. Educating future physicians in artificial intelligence (AI): an integrative review and proposed changes. J Med Educ Curric Dev 2021; 8: 23821205211036836.

4. Norvig, P. and S. Russell. 2016. Artificial intelligence: a modern approach, global edition.

5. Goodfellow, I., Y. Bengio, and A. Courville. 2016. Deep learning. MIT Press.

6. Bostrom, N. 2014. Superintelligence: Paths, dangers, strategies reprint edition. Oxford University Press.

7. Lake, B.M., T.D. Ullman, J.B. Tenenbaum, and S.J. Gershman. 2017. Building machines that learn and think like people. Behavioral and brain sciences 40: e253

8. Goertzel, B., C. Pennachin, and N. Geisweiller. 2014. Engineering general intelligence, part 1. Atlantis Thinking Machines 5.

9. Voss, P. 2007. Essentials of general intelligence: The direct path to artificial general intelligence. Artificial general intelligence: 131–157.

10. Legg, S., M. Hutter, et al. 2007. A collection of definitions of intelligence. Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications 157: 17.

11. Wang, P. 2019. On defining artificial intelligence. Journal of Artificial General Intelligence 10 (2): 1–37 .

12. Zhai, X., X. Chu, C.S. Chai, M.S.Y. Jong, A. Istenic, M. Spector, J.B. Liu, J. Yuan, and Y. Li. 2021. A review of artificial intelligence (ai) in education from 2010 to 2020. Complexity 2021: 1–18 .

13. Mohammed, P.S. and E. 'Nell'Watson. 2019. Towards inclusive education in the age of artificial intelligence: Perspectives, challenges, and opportunities. Artificial Intelligence and Inclusive Education: Speculative futures and emerging practices: 17–37.

14. Rosenschein, J.S. and G. Zlotkin. 1994. Rules of encounter: designing conventions for automated negotiation among computers. MIT press.

15. (2023), "Gpt-4 technical report," arXiv:2303.08774, 2023.

16. Singhal, T. Tu, J. Gottweis, et al., "Towards expert-level medical question answering with large language models," arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.09617, 2023.

17. H. Kung, M. Cheatham, A. Medenilla et al., "Performance of chatgpt on usmle: Potential for ai-assisted medical education using large language models," PLOS Digital Health, vol. 2, no. 2, p. e0000198, 2023.

18. Dai, Z. Liu, W. Liao et al., "Chataug: Leveraging chatgpt for text data augmentation," arXiv:2302.13007, 2023.

19. Mitchell et al., "Detectgpt: Zero-shot machine-generated text detection using probability curvature," arXiv:2301.11305, 2023.

20. Shah, D.S., H.A. Schwartz, and D. Hovy. Predictive Biases in Natural Language Processing Models: A Conceptual Framework and Overview. in ACL 2020. 2020. Association for Computational Linguistics.

21. Abid, A., M. Farooqi, and J. Zou. Persistent Anti-Muslim Bias in Large Language Models. 2021. Association for Computing Machinery.

22. Obermeyer, Z., et al., Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations. Science, 2019. 366(6464): p. 447-453. 21

23. Sourlos, N., et al., Possible Bias in Supervised Deep Learning Algorithms for CT Lung Nodule Detection and Classification. Cancers, 2022. 14(16): p. 3867.

24. Vyas, D.A., L.G. Eisenstein, and D.S. Jones, Hidden in Plain Sight — Reconsidering the Use of Race Correction in Clinical Algorithms. New England Journal of Medicine, 2020. 383(9): p. 874-882.

25. OpenAI. GPT-4 Technical Report. 2023.

26. J. Hall, M. V. Chapman, K. M. Lee et al., "Implicit racial/ethnic bias among health care professionals and its influence on health care outcomes: a systematic review," American journal of public health, vol. 105, no. 12, pp. e60–e76, 2015.

27. Z. Obermeyer, B. Powers, C. Vogeli, and S. Mullainathan, "Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations," Science, vol. 366, no. 6464, pp. 447–453, 2019.

28. D. Cirillo, S. Catuara-Solarz, C. Morey et al., "Sex and gender differences and biases in artificial intelligence for biomedicine and healthcare," NPJ digital medicine, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 81, 2020.

29. D. S. Char, N. H. Shah, and D. Magnus, "Implementing machine learning in health care—addressing ethical challenges," The New England journal of medicine, vol. 378, no. 11, p. 981, 2018.

30. J. Rutinowski, S. Franke, J. Endendyk, I. Dormuth, and M. Pauly, "The selfperception and political biases of chatgpt," arXiv:2304.07333, 2023.

31. T. Y. Zhuo, Y. Huang, C. Chen et al., "Exploring ai ethics of chatgpt: A diagnostic analysis," arXiv:2301.12867, 2023.

32. N. Carlini, F. Tramer, E. Wallace, M. Jagielski, A. Herbert-Voss, K. Lee, A. Roberts, T. B. Brown, D. Song, U. Erlingsson et al., "Extracting training data from large language models." in USENIX Security Symposium, vol. 6, 2021.

33. N. Carlini, D. Ippolito, M. Jagielski, K. Lee, F. Tramer, and C. Zhang, "Quantifying memorization across neural language models," arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.07646, 2022.

34. H. Li, D. Guo, W. Fan, M. Xu, and Y. Song, "Multi-step jailbreaking privacy attacks on chatgpt," arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.05197, 2023.

35. R. Shokri, M. Stronati, C. Song, and V. Shmatikov, "Membership inference attacks against machine learning models," in 2017 IEEE symposium on security and privacy (SP). IEEE, 2017, pp. 3–18

36. Huang, J., H. Shao, and K.C.-C. Chang, Are Large Pre-Trained Language Models Leaking Your Personal Information? 2022.

37. Bommasani, R., D.A. Hudson, E. Adeli, R. Altman, S. Arora, S. von Arx, M.S. Bernstein, J. Bohg, A. Bosselut, E. Brunskill, et al. 2021. On the opportunities and risks of foundation models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.07258 .

38. Touvron, H., T. Lavril, G. Izacard, X. Martinet, M.A. Lachaux, T. Lacroix, B. Rozi‘ere, N. Goyal, E. Hambro, F. Azhar, et al. 2023. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971 .

39. Mai, G., W. Huang, J. Sun, S. Song, D. Mishra, N. Liu, S. Gao, T. Liu, G. Cong, Y. Hu, et al. 2023. On the opportunities and challenges of foundation models for geospatial artificial intelligence. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.06798 . Mai, G., N. Lao, Y. He, J. Song, and S. Ermon 2023. Csp: Self-supervised contrastive spatial pre-training for geospatial-visual representations. In International Conference on Machine Learning. PMLR.

40. Li R, Kumar A, Chen JH. How chatbots and large language model artificial intelligence systems will reshape modern medicine: fountain of creativity or Pandora's box? JAMA Intern Med. 2023;183(6):596- 597. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2023.1835

41. Vaswani A, Shazeer N, Parmar N, et al. Attention is all you need. In: Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems: NIPS '17. Curran Associates Inc; 2017:6000-6010.

42. Zhao WX, Zhou K, Li J, et al. A survey of large language models. arXiv. Preprint posted online March 31, 2023. https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.18223v10

43. Lee P, Bubeck S, Petro J. Benefits, limits, and risks of GPT-4 as an AI chatbot for medicine. N Engl J Med. 2023;388(13):1233-1239. doi:10.1056/NEJMsr2214184

44. Zhe Gan, Linjie Li, Chunyuan Li, Lijuan Wang, Zicheng Liu, Jianfeng Gao, et al. Vision-language pre-training: Basics, recent advances, and future trends. Foundations and Trends® in Computer Graphics and Vision, 2022.

45. Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.00020, 2021. [9] Lu Yuan, Dongdong Chen, Yi-Ling Chen, Noel Codella, Xiyang Dai, Jianfeng Gao, Houdong Hu, Xuedong Huang, Boxin Li, Chunyuan Li, et al. Florence: A new foundation model for computer vision. arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.11432, 2021.

46. Chao Jia, Yinfei Yang, Ye Xia, Yi-Ting Chen, Zarana Parekh, Hieu Pham, Quoc V Le, Yunhsuan Sung, Zhen Li, and Tom Duerig. Scaling up visual

and vision-language representation learning with noisy text supervision. arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.05918, 2021.

47. Chunyuan Li, Haotian Liu, Liunian Harold Li, Pengchuan Zhang, Jyoti Aneja, Jianwei Yang, Ping Jin, Houdong Hu, Zicheng Liu, Yong Jae Lee, and Jianfeng Gao. ELEVATER: A benchmark and toolkit for evaluating language-augmented visual models. In NeurIPS Track on Datasets and Benchmarks, 2022.

48. Danny Driess, Fei Xia, Mehdi SM Sajjadi, Corey Lynch, Aakanksha Chowdhery, Brian Ichter, Ayzaan Wahid, Jonathan Tompson, Quan Vuong, Tianhe Yu, et al. PaLM-E: An embodied multimodal language model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.03378, 2023.

49. Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jeff Donahue, Pauline Luc, Antoine Miech, Iain Barr, Yana Hasson, Karel Lenc, Arthur Mensch, Katherine Millican, Malcolm Reynolds, et al. Flamingo: a visual language model for few-shot learning. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 35, pages 23716–23736, 2022.

50. Jianfeng Wang, Zhengyuan Yang, Xiaowei Hu, Linjie Li, Kevin Lin, Zhe Gan, Zicheng Liu, Ce Liu, and Lijuan Wang. Git: A generative image-to-text transformer for vision and language. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.14100, 2022.

51. Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.08485, 2023.

52. A. Radford et al., "Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision," in ICML. PMLR, 2021, pp. 8748–8763.

53. C. Jia, Y. Yang, Y. Xia et al., "Scaling Up Visual and Vision-Language Representation Learning With Noisy Text Supervision," in ICML, Jul. 2021.

54. L. Yuan, D. Chen, Y.-L. Chen et al., "Florence: A New Foundation Model for Computer Vision," Nov. 2021, arXiv:2111.11432 [cs].

55. C. Schuhmann, R. Beaumont, R. Vencu et al., "LAION-5B: An open large-scale dataset for training next generation image-text models," in NeurIPS, Oct. 2022.

56. A. Singh, R. Hu, V. Goswami et al., "FLAVA: A Foundational Language and Vision Alignment Model," in CVPR, 2022, pp. 15 638–15 650.

57. Z. Wang, J. Yu, A. W. Yu et al., "SimVLM: Simple Visual Language Model Pretraining with Weak Supervision," in ICLR, Jan. 2022.

58. X. Chen et al., "Pali: A jointly-scaled multilingual language-image model," arXiv:2209.06794, 2022.

59. J. Li et al., “BLIP-2: Bootstrapping Language-Image Pre-training with Frozen Image Encoders and Large Language Models,” Jan. 2023, arXiv:2301.12597 [cs].

60. S. Huang, L. Dong, W. Wang et al., “Language Is Not All You Need: Aligning Perception with Language Models,” Mar. 2023, arXiv:2302.14045 [cs].

61. J. Li, D. Li, C. Xiong, and S. Hoi, “BLIP: Bootstrapping Language-Image Pretraining for Unified Vision-Language Understanding and Generation,” in ICML. PMLR, Jun. 2022, pp. 12 888–12 900, iSSN: 2640-3498.

62. H. Pham, Z. Dai, G. Ghiasi et al., “Combined scaling for open-vocabulary image classification,” arXiv e-prints, pp. arXiv–2111, 2021.

63. A. Ramesh, M. Pavlov, G. Goh et al., “Zero-Shot Text-to-Image Generation,” in ICML, Jul. 2021.

64. J. Yu, Y. Xu, J. Y. Koh et al., “Scaling autoregressive models for content-rich text-to-image generation,” Transactions on Machine Learning Research, 2022.

65. A. Ramesh, P. Dhariwal, A. Nichol et al., “Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents,” arXiv:2204.06125, 2022.

66. R. Rombach, A. Blattmann, D. Lorenz, P. Esser, and B. Ommer, “High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models,” 2021.

67. A. Q. Nichol et al., “Glide: Towards photorealistic image generation and editing with text-guided diffusion models,” in ICML, 2022, pp. 16 784–16 804.

68. C. Saharia et al., “Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding,” arXiv:2205.11487, 2022.

69. J. Sohl-Dickstein et al., “Deep unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics,” in ICML. PMLR, 2015, pp. 2256–2265.

70. J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, “Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding,” arXiv:1810.04805, 2018.

71. H. Touvron, T. Lavril, G. Izacard et al., “Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models,” arXiv:2302.13971, 2023.

72. H. Touvron, L. Martin, K. Stone et al., “Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288, 2023.

73. H. W. Chung, L. Hou, S. Longpre et al., “Scaling instruction-finetuned language models,” arXiv:2210.11416, 2022.

74. A. Chowdhery, S. Narang, J. Devlin et al., "Palm: Scaling language modeling with pathways," arXiv:2204.02311, 2022.

75. J. Hoffmann, S. Borgeaud, A. Mensch et al., "Training compute-optimal large language models," arXiv:2203.15556, 2022.

76. S. Smith et al., "Using deepspeed and megatron to train megatron-turing nlg 530b, a large-scale generative language model," arXiv:2201.11990, 2022.

77. T. L. Scao, A. Fan, C. Akiki et al., "Bloom: A 176b-parameter open-access multilingual language model," arXiv:2211.05100, 2022.

78. R. Thoppilan, D. De Freitas, J. Hall et al., "Lamda: Language models for dialog applications," arXiv:2201.08239, 2022.

79. S. Zhang, S. Roller, N. Goyal et al., "Opt: Open pre-trained transformer language models," arXiv:2205.01068, 2022.

80. L. Ouyang, J. Wu, X. Jiang et al., "Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback," arXiv:2203.02155, 2022.

81. J. W. Rae, S. Borgeaud, T. Cai et al., "Scaling language models: Methods, analysis & insights from training gopher," arXiv:2112.11446, 2021.

82. V. Sanh, A. Webson, C. Raffel et al., "Multitask prompted training enables zeroshot task generalization," arXiv:2110.08207, 2021.

83. J. Wei, X. Wang, D. Schuurmans et al., "Chain of thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models," arXiv:2201.11903, 2022.

84. Alex Nichol, Prafulla Dhariwal, Aditya Ramesh, Pranav Shyam, Pamela Mishkin, Bob McGrew, Ilya Sutskever, and Mark Chen. Glide: Towards photorealistic image generation and editing with text-guided diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.10741, 2021.

85. Jun Chen, Han Guo, Kai Yi, Boyang Li, and Mohamed Elhoseiny. Visualgpt: Data-efficient adaptation of pretrained language models for image captioning. In IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2022.

86. Minghui Hu, Chuanxia Zheng, Heliang Zheng, Tat-Jen Cham, Chaoyue Wang, Zuopeng Yang, Dacheng Tao, and Ponnuthurai N Suganthan. Unified discrete diffusion for simultaneous vision-language generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.14842, 2022.

87. O Ronneberger, P. Fischer, and T. Brox, "U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation," in Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2015: 18th International Conference, Munich, Germany, October 5-9, 2015, Proceedings, Part III 18. Springer, 2015, pp. 234–241.

88. M. Anwar, M. Majid, A. Qayyum, M. Awais, M. Alnowami, and M. K. Khan, “Medical image analysis using convolutional neural networks: a review,” Journal of medical systems, vol. 42, pp. 1–13, 2018.

89. Kirillov, E. Mintun, N. Ravi, H. Mao, C. Rolland, L. Gustafson, T. Xiao, S. Whitehead, A. C. Berg, W.-Y. Lo et al., “Segment anything,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.02643, 2023.

90. Dosovitskiy, L. Beyer, A. Kolesnikov, D. Weissenborn, X. Zhai, T. Unterthiner, M. Dehghani, M. Minderer, G. Heigold, S. Gelly et al., “An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929, 2020.

91. Zhai et al., “Scaling vision transformers,” in CVPR, 2022, pp. 12 104–12 113.

92. Chen, A. Radford, R. Child et al., “Generative Pretraining From Pixels,” in ICML. PMLR, Nov. 2020

93. Chen, Y. Wang, T. Guo et al., “Pre-trained image processing transformer,” in CVPR, 2021, pp. 12 299–12 310.

94. He, X. Chen, S. Xie et al., “Masked Autoencoders Are Scalable Vision Learners,” in CVPR, 2022.

95. Chen, S. Kornblith, M. Norouzi, and G. Hinton, “A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations,” in ICML, 2020, pp. 1597–1607.

96. Dosovitskiy, L. Beyer, A. Kolesnikov et al., “An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale,” in ICLR, 2021.

97. K. Han, A. Xiao, E. Wu et al., “Transformer in Transformer,” in NeurIPS, vol. 34, 2021, pp. 15 908–15 919.

98. Liu et al., “Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows,” in ICCV, 2021, pp. 10 012–10 022.

99. Z. Liu, H. Hu, Y. Lin et al., “Swin Transformer V2: Scaling Up Capacity and Resolution,” in ICCV, 2022.

100. M. Dehghani, J. Djolonga, B. Mustafa et al., “Scaling vision transformers to 22 billion parameters,” ArXiv, vol. abs/2302.05442, 2023. [45] Z. Liu et al., “A convnet for the 2020s,” in CVPR, 2022, pp. 11 976–11 986. [46] W. Wang, J. Dai, Z. Chen et al., “Internimage: Exploring large-scale vision foundation models with deformable convolutions,” in CVPR, 2023.

101. Z. Dai, H. Liu, Q. V. Le, and M. Tan, “Coatnet: Marrying convolution and attention for all data sizes,” NeurIPS, vol. 34, pp. 3965–3977, 2021.

102. S. d'Ascoli et al., "Convit: Improving vision transformers with soft convolutional inductive biases," in ICML. PMLR, 2021, pp. 2286–2296. A. Kolesnikov et al., "Big transfer (bit): General visual representation learning," in ECCV, 2020, pp. 491–507.

103. M. Tan et al., "Efficientnet: Rethinking model scaling for convolutional neural networks," in ICML, 2019, pp. 6105–6114.

104. ——, "Efficientnetv2: Smaller models and faster training," in ICML, 2021, pp. 10 096–10 106.

105. Goyal et al., "Self-supervised pretraining of visual features in the wild," arXiv:2103.01988, 2021.

106. Huang et al., "Gpipe: Efficient training of giant neural networks using pipeline parallelism," NeurIPS, vol. 32, 2019.

107. Chen, X., Cheng, G., Zou, D., Zhong, B., & Xie, H. (2023). Artificial Intelligent Robots for Precision Education: A Topic Modeling-Based Bibliometric Analysis. Educational Technology & Society, 26(1), 171-186. https://doi.org/10.30191/ETS.202301$_2$6(1).0013$Lee, M., Tran, D.T., \& Lee, J.-H.(2021).3Ddacialpainexpressionforacaretrainingassistantrobotinanelderlycareeducationenviron$

108. Bickmore, T., & Gruber, A. (2010). Relational agents in clinical psychiatry. Harvard Review of Psychiatry, 18(2), 119–130. https://doi.org/10.3109/10673221003707538

109. Kawamoto K, Houlihan CA, Balas EA, et al. Improving clinical practice using clinical decision support systems: a systematic review of trials to identify features critical to success. BMJ 2005; 330 (7494): 765

110. Sorace J, Wong H-H, DeLeire T, et al. Quantifying the competitiveness of the electronic health record market and its implications for interoperability. Int J Med Inform 2020; 136: 104037.

111. Clinical Decision Support (CDS) j HealthIT.gov. https://www.healthit.gov/test-method/clinical-decision-support-cds. Accessed September 7, 2021.

112. Bright TJ, Wong A, Dhurjati R, et al. Effect of clinical decision-support systems. Ann Intern Med 2012; 157 (1): 29–43. 5. Mitchell J, Probst J, Brock-Martin A, et al. Association between clinical decision support system use and rural quality disparities in the treatment of pneumonia. J Rural Health 2014; 30 (2): 186–95

113. Ahuja, N. J., Dutt, S., Choudhary, S. L., & Kumar, M. "Intelligent Tutoring System in Education for Disabled Learners Using Human– Computer Interaction and Augmented Reality". International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, 2022.

114. Cao, F., Xiang, M., Chen, K., & Lei, M. Intelligent Physical Education Teaching Tracking System Based on Multimedia Data Analysis and Artificial Intelligence. Mobile Information Systems, 2022. doi:10.1155/2022/76666152022

115. Karaci, A., Akyüz, H. I., Bilgici, G., & Arici, N. (2018). Effects of Web-Based Intelligent Tutoring Systems on Academic Achievement and Retention. International Journal of Computer Applications, Vol. 181, No. 16, pp. 35-41.

116. Mosa, M. J., Albatish, I., & Abu-Naser, S. S. Asp. net-tutor: Intelligent tutoring system for leaning asp. net. International Journal of Academic Pedagogical Research, Vol. 2, No.2, 2018.

117. Fatima NAGIa , Rawan SALIHa , Mahmood AlZUBAIDIa , Hurmat SHAHa , Tanvir ALAMa , Zubair SHAHa and Mowafa HOUSEHa, Applications of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Medical Education: A Scoping Review 2023 The authors and IOS Press.

118. "How your data is used to improve model performance," 2023. [Online]. Available: https://help.openai.com/en/articles/5722486-how-your-data-is-used-to-improve-model-performance.

119. "March 20 chatgpt outage: Here's what happened," 2023. [Online]. Available: https://openai.com/blog/march-20-chatgpt-outage

120. K. Greshake, S. Abdelnabi, S. Mishra et al., "More than you've asked for: A comprehensive analysis of novel prompt injection threats to application-integrated large language models," arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.12173, 2023

121. . Naik, N., et al., Legal and Ethical Consideration in Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: Who Takes Responsibility? Frontiers in Surgery, 2022. 9.

122. Sallam, M., ChatGPT Utility in Healthcare Education, Research, and Practice: Systematic Review on the Promising Perspectives and Valid Concerns. Healthcare, 2023. 11(6): p. 887.

123. Li, J., et al., ChatGPT in Healthcare: A Taxonomy and Systematic Review. 2023.

124. Karabacak, M., et al., Embracing Large Language Models for Medical Applications: Opportunities and Challenges. Cureus, 2023. 15(5).

125. Nelson, T., M. Goodchild, and D. Wright. 2022. Accelerating ethics, empathy, and equity in geographic information science. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 119 (19): e2119967119 .

126. Janowicz, K. 2023. Philosophical foundations of geoai: Exploring sustainability, diversity, and bias in geoai and spatial data science. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.0650

127. Goodchild, M.F. 2021. Geoethics in the geospatial community. ArcNews 43: 30–31 .

128. Z. Liu, M. He, Z. Jiang, Z. Wu, H. Dai, L. Zhang, S. Luo, T. Han, X. Li, X. Jiang et al., "Survey on natural language processing in medical image analysis." Zhong nan da xue xue bao. Yi xue ban= Journal of Central South University. Medical Sciences, vol. 47, no. 8, pp. 981– 993, 2022.

129. Z. Liu, X. Yu, L. Zhang, Z. Wu, C. Cao, H. Dai, L. Zhao, W. Liu, D. Shen, Q. Li et al., "Deid-gpt: Zero-shot medical text deidentification by gpt-4," arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.11032, 2023.

130. T. L. Murray, M. Calhoun, and N. C. Philipsen, "Privacy, confidentiality, hipaa, and hitech: implications for the health care practitioner," The Journal for Nurse Practitioners, vol. 7, no. 9, pp. 747–752, 2011.

131. D. S. Char, M. D. Abramoff, and C. Feudtner, "Identifying ethi- ' cal considerations for machine learning healthcare applications," The American Journal of Bioethics, vol. 20, no. 11, pp. 7–17, 2020.

132. A. E. Johnson, T. J. Pollard, L. Shen, L.-w. H. Lehman, M. Feng, M. Ghassemi, B. Moody, P. Szolovits, L. Anthony Celi, and R. G. Mark, "Mimic-iii, a freely accessible critical care database," Scientific data, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2016.

133. A. E. Johnson, T. J. Pollard, S. J. Berkowitz, N. R. Greenbaum, M. P. Lungren, C.-y. Deng, R. G. Mark, and S. Horng, "Mimic-cxr, a deidentified publicly available database of chest radiographs with freetext reports," Scientific data, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 317, 2019.

134. S. Ghosh, E. S. Baranowski, M. Biehl, W. Arlt, P. Tino, and K. Bunte, "Interpretable models capable of handling systematic missingness in imbalanced classes and heterogeneous datasets," arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.02056, 2022.

135. J. Shi, Y. Liu, P. Zhou, and L. Sun, "Badgpt: Exploring security vulnerabilities of chatgpt via backdoor attacks to instructgpt," arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.12298, 2023.

136. Z. Yuan, Y. Liu, K. Zhang, P. Zhou, and L. Sun, "Backdoor attacks to pre-trained unified foundation models," arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.09360, 2023.

137. D. Cirillo, S. Catuara-Solarz, C. Morey, E. Guney, L. Subirats, S. Mellino, A. Gigante, A. Valencia, M. J. Rementeria, A. S. Chadha et al., "Sex and gender differences and biases in artificial intelligence for biomedicine and healthcare," NPJ digital medicine, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 81, 2020.

138. Xia, L., & Zhong, B. (2018). A Systematic review on teaching and learning robotics content knowledge in K-12. Computers & Education, 127, 267–282. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.09.007

139. Edwards, C., Edwards, A., Spence, P. R., & Lin, X. (2018). I, teacher: Using artificial intelligence (AI) and social robots in communication and instruction. Communication Education, 67(4), 473–480. https://doi.org/10.1080/03634523.2018.150245

140. Zhong, B., Zheng, J., & Zhan, Z. (2020). An Exploration of combining virtual and physical robots in robotics education. Interactive Learning Environments, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2020.1786409

141. E. R. Zorzal, J. M. C. Gomes, M. Sousa, P. Belchior, P. G. da Silva, N. Figueiredo, D. S. Lopes, and J. Jorge. Laparoscopy with augmented reality adaptations. Journal of Biomedical Informatics, 107:103463, July 2020.

142. S. V. Gentry, A. Gauthier, B. L. Ehrstrom, D. Wortley, A. Lilienthal, L. T. Car, S. Dauwels-Okutsu, C. K. Nikolaou, N. Zary, J. Campbell, et al. Serious gaming and gamification education in health professions: systematic review. Journal of medical Internet research, 21(3):e12994, 2019.

143. A. N. Ogawa, G. G. Magalhaes, A. C. T. Klock, and I. Gasparini. ˜ Analise sobre a gamifica ´ c¸ao em ambientes educacionais. ˜ RENOTE, 13(2), Jan. 2016.

144. D. Basten. Gamification. Ieee Software, 34(05):76–81, 2017.

145. R. Bajaj and V. Sharma, ‘‘Smart education with artificial intelligence based determination of learning styles,’’ Proc. Comput. Sci., vol. 132, pp. 834–842, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2018.05.095.

146. H. Peng, ‘‘Personalized adaptive learning: An emerging pedagogical approach enabled by a smart learning environment,’’ in Foundations and Trends in Smart Learning, M. Chang, Eds. Singapore: Springer, 2019, pp. 171–176, doi: 10.1007/978-981-13-6908-7_24.

147. S. Amin, M.Irfan uddin, A. Abdusalam Aalarood, W. K Mashwani , A. Alzahrani, A. Omar Smart E-Learning Framework for Personalized Adaptive Learning and Sequential Path Recommendations Using Reinforcement Learning 2023.

148. Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education. 2019. ACCME Data Report: Growth and Advancement in Accredited Continuing Medical Education – 2018. http://www.accme.org/2018datareportwww.accme.org/2018datareport

149. Elsevier. “Medical Knowledge Doubles Every Few Months; How Can Clinicians Keep up?” Elsevier Connect, www.elsevier.com/ connect/medical-knowledge-doubles-every-few-months-how-can-clinicians-keep-up.

150. Agah A. (2014). Medical Applications of Artificial Intelligence. Boca Raton, FL; CRC Press.

151. Murff HJ, FitzHenry F, Matheny ME, et al. Automated identification of postoperative complications within an electronic medical record using natural language rrocessing. JAMA. 2011;306(8):848-55.

152. Chapman WW, Christensen LM, Wagner MM, et al. Classifying free-text triage chief complaints into syndromic categories with natural language processing. Artif Intell Med. 2005;33(1):31-40

153. D. J. Feller, J. Zucker, M. T. Yin, P. Gordon, and N. Elhadad, ‘‘Using clinical notes and natural language processing for automated HIV risk assessment,’’ J. Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes, vol. 77, no. 2, pp. 160–166, 2018.

154. V. D. Mea, M. H. Popescu, and K. Roitero, ‘‘Underlying cause of death identification from death certificates using reverse coding to text and a NLP based deep learning approach,’’ Informat. Med. Unlocked, vol. 21, Jan. 2020, Art. no. 100456. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S

155. J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, ‘‘BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectiona

156. Jia, X., Zhou, W., Sun, X., & Wu, Y. (2020). EQG-RACE: Examination-Type question generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.06106.

157. Chen, G., Yang, J., Hauff, C., & Houben, G. J. (2018). LearningQ: A large-scale dataset for educational question generation. In Proceedings of the 12th international AAAI conference on web and social media (pp. 481–490). AAAI.